

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Date of Decision : 03-03-2025

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Shubkarman Kumar, Advocate
For Mr. Anil Shukla, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Naveen Singh Panwar, DAG Haryana.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submit that he is not in touch with the petitioner and does not know as to whether, the petitioner is alive or not or whether she is interested in pursuing the present remedy even after the expiry of 24 years. Hence, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further with liberty to revive the same in case, the petitioner is alive and wants a decision on merits.

Ordered accordingly.

Pending application, if any, also stands disposed of.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Sapna Goyal

NOTE: Whether speaking: YES
Whether reportable: NO