



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

114

CWP-3584-2025

Date of Decision: 11.03.2025

SACHIN KUMAR

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA

Present:- Mr. Sarthak Gupta, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. K. K. Chahal, Addl. A. G., Haryana.

TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA, J. (Oral)

The petition has been filed, *inter alia*, seeking a writ of *certiorari* quashing the final result dated 27.07.2024, Annexure P-8, for the post of TGT Physical Education, Rest of Haryana and Mewat cadres, in response to advertisement 02/2023, dated 21.02.2023, to the extent the petitioner's roll number has not been reflected therein.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner's candidature for the post of TGT Physical Education under General/Dependent of Ex-servicemen (DESM) category has been wrongly rejected since his application form, Annexure P-2, had no column to apply under DESM category. Left with no other option, he applied as General category candidate. Learned counsel has referred to the application forms issued to other candidates for the same post, Annexure P-10, wherein option to apply under DESM category has been given.

3. Learned State counsel has produced a printout, dated 10.03.2025, of the petitioner's application form submitted on 04.03.2023 at 12:31:28 PM, which is taken on record as Annexure-A. It shows there was a specific column "*Dependent of Ex-servicemen*" against which the petitioner had responded



with the word “*none*”. She accordingly contends that the assertion by the petitioner to the contrary is not correct.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner, however, disputes the fact and contends that the correct application form submitted by the petitioner is the one which has been appended to the petition as Annexure P-2. The Commission later amended application form by providing the option to claim reservation under DESM category as well; the printout Annexure-A, therefore, indicates the same.

5. These disputed facts regarding veracity of the petitioner’s application form, dated 04.03.2023, cannot be established in exercise of extraordinary jurisdiction by this Court. Accordingly, the petition is disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to approach the Civil Court with the grievance raised herein, if so advised.

(TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA)
JUDGE

11.03.2025

Ad

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No