



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

113

CWP-3716-2025

Date of decision: 11.02.2025

Narinder Kaur

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present: Mr. H.S. Saini, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Swapan Shorey, DAG, Punjab.

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (Oral)

1. Prayer made in the present petition is for quashing the impugned provisional selection list dated 14.01.2025 to the extent whereby the selection and appointment of the petitioner for the post of ETT teacher in Freedom Fighter Quota has been withheld.

2. Learned counsel submits that the petitioner had applied under the Freedom Fighter Quota for the appointment to the post of ETT teacher, pursuant to the advertisement dated 06.03.2020, wherein after the completion of the selection process, she had secured 57 marks and is at number 3 in the merit list of the said category. There was a condition of passing the PSTET test, which she had undertaken under the SC category, thus was granted 5% relaxation in terms of instructions dated 29.07.2011, Annexure P-9, but is not being taken into consideration on the ground that she has applied under a different category for appointment. This issue already stands dealt with and decided with regard to a similarly circumstanced candidate, who had also applied under Freedom Fighter Quota, however had passed the PSTET in OBC category in **Lakhwinder Kaur vs. State of Punjab and others**, CWP-



1768-2017, decided on 25.04.2018 alongwith CWP-23138-2016, which had not even been challenged by the State of Punjab.

3. The aforesaid facts having been brought out, during the course of hearing, learned State counsel, on instructions, states that the respondents would not be averse to have a relook at the matter and decided afresh, taking note of the aforesaid judgment, within a period of 4 weeks, which this Court has no reason to believe the authorities would not address in a just, fair and reasonable manner.

4. The aforesaid satisfies the learned counsel for the petitioner.

5. The matter stands disposed of accordingly and if the petitioner is found entitled, grant the benefit forthwith. Needless to say, if the orders are adverse to her interest, the same may be passed after granting opportunity of hearing to her and shall contain reasons, whereupon she shall be free to seek legal redress thereupon.

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

11.02.2025
Hemant

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes / No
Whether reportable	:	Yes / No