



CWP-5255-2002 (O&M)

1

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

316

CWP-5255-2002 (O&M)

Date of decision: 29.10.2025

UDHAM SINGH

...Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHER

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present: Mr. Amrindra Pratap Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Chanchal K. Singla, Addl. A.G., Punjab with
Ms. Neha Sonawane, DAG, Punjab.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India is claiming benefit of military service. He is claiming that he was entitled to additional increments and seniority on the basis of military service.
2. As per reply, the petitioner was granted two increments whereas petitioner is claiming that he was entitled to three increments. Foundation of claim of petitioner is that he joined service at the age of 17 years though minimum prescribed age in the ordinary course was 18 years, however, during emergency said age was reduced, thus, respondents were bound to consider his entire service. The petitioner is further claiming seniority which culminates in promotion.
3. In the reply, the respondents have categorically stated that petitioner had not cleared B-1 test and Lower School Course as contemplated by Rules 13.7

and 13.8 of Punjab Police Rules, 1934.

4. Faced with this, petitioner submits that he had cleared B-1 test in 1972, however, at the moment, he has no evidence. He may be granted liberty to file fresh petition after obtaining information under RTI or through any other source.

5. *Dismissed as withdrawn* with liberty to file afresh if petitioner gets evidence in support of his averments.

6. All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, shall stand disposed of.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

29.10.2025
P.Bhatt

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No