



CWP-3556-2025 (O&M)

-1-

113

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-3556-2025 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 25.04.2025**

Sanjeev Kumar

.....Petitioner

Vs.

The Punjab State Federation of Cooperative Sugar Mills Ltd. and others

.....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE LISA GILL
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SUDEEPTI SHARMA**

Present: Mr. Vishal Mehta, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Vikas Singh, Advocate,
for respondents No.1 and 2.

LISA GILL, J. (ORAL)

1. Prayer in this writ petition is for setting aside proceedings dated 25.10.2024 (Annexure P-3), whereby technical bid of respondent No.3 was held to be unresponsive.

2. It is a matter of record that Detailed Notice Inviting Tender dated 06.10.2024 was floated by respondent No.2 for contract of Handling of Sugar Bag for Crushing Season 2024-2025. Present petitioner, along with three others, had submitted their bids. Acceptance of tender of respondent No.3 was subject to challenge in CWP-29875-2024 filed by one of the tenderers, namely Vikram Singh. This writ petition was disposed of on



05.11.2024 while noting the stand of affected parties, wherein it was agreed that competent authority would pass appropriate orders after affording opportunity of hearing to concerned parties. Consequent thereto, order dated 16.11.2024 was passed by General Manager, The Morinda Cooperation Sugar Mill, Morinda (Ropar), wherein it is noted that one Vikram Singh had withdrawn his tender and his representation was rejected. Issues as raised were also considered in the speaking order. It is further recorded in speaking order dated 16.11.2024 that along with Vikram Singh, present petitioner i.e. Sanjeev Kumar and another bidder Mahinder Pal had also withdrawn their bids. Present petition has now been filed in February, 2025, challenging the allotment of tender to respondent No.3.

3. Heard learned counsel for the parties.

4. We find no merit in this writ petition which is in fact misconceived and devoid of any merit. It is a matter of record and not disputed by learned counsel for petitioner that most of the allotted work has been completed. Further, it is a matter of record that impugned proceedings dated 25.10.2024, earlier challenged in CWP-29875-2024, have attained finality after passing of speaking order dated 16.11.2024. It is a matter of record that present petitioner had withdrawn his bid at the relevant time. There is no explanation, leave alone a reasonable explanation for filing this writ petition in February, 2025 for challenging order dated 16.11.2024. Keeping in view the factual matrix as above, matter calls for imposition of



cost, however, at fervent request of learned counsel for the petitioner, we refrain from imposing any costs.

5. Present petition is accordingly dismissed being devoid of any merit.

(LISA GILL)
JUDGE

(SUDEEPTI SHARMA)
JUDGE

25.04.2025
Virrendra

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes / No
Whether reportable:	Yes / No