



CRM-M-7343-2025

1

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

205/2

**CRM-M-7343-2025 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 01.04.2025**

Gurjant Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

Present: Mr. Kapish Singla, Advocate, for
Mr. Harish Bhatti, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. S.S.Chahal, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. R.S.Gill, Advocate for the complainant.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J.

Present petition has been filed under Section 483 of Bharatiya
Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (for short 'BNSS') for grant of pre-arrest
bail to the petitioner in FIR No.60 dated 28.06.2024, under Sections 323,
341, 324, 506 and 148 read with Section 149 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860
(for short 'IPC')[Sections 308 and 201 IPC added later on], registered at
Police Station Sadar Rajpura, District Patiala.

2. Allegations are that petitioner along with other co-accused
formed an unlawful assembly and in prosecution of common object of the
said assembly, caused multiple injuries to *de facto* complainant-Sharanpreet
Singh with *danda* and *gandasa* with intention to kill him.

3. Contends that petitioner was granted interim bail by this Court,
vide order dated 14.02.2025 and in pursuance thereof, he has already joined
the investigation; hence, his custodial interrogation is not required.



CRM-M-7343-2025

2

4. The above factual position is not disputed by learned State Counsel, on instructions from quarter concerned and submits that his custodial interrogation is not required.

5. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the paper-book.

6. It transpires that petitioner was granted interim bail by this Court, vide order dated 14.02.2025 and the order reads as under:-

“Contends that co-accused (Gulab Singh @ Hardit Singh) has been granted interim pre-arrest bail by this Court vide order dated 10.02.2025 passed in CRM-M-4288-2025.

Posted for 25.03.2025.

To be heard along with CRM-M-4288-2025.

Till the next date of hearing, petitioner is ordered to be released on interim bail in this case on his furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned trial Court/Duty Magistrate concerned.”

7. It is duly acknowledged by learned State Counsel that in pursuance of the aforesaid order, petitioner has joined investigation and his custodial interrogation is not required.

8. In view of the above, there is no justification to deny the concession of pre-arrest bail to the petitioner. Consequently, present petition is allowed; interim order dated 14.02.2025 is made absolute subject to the conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) of BNSS.

9. It is also made clear that petitioner shall fully co-operate with the Investigating Officer as and when called for further investigation.

10. The above observations be not construed as an expression of opinion on merits of the case; rather confined only to decide the bail matter.

11. It is also clarified that in case of any recurrence on the part of petitioner, State would be at liberty to move an appropriate application for recalling of this order.



Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed off.

01.04.2025 (MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)
Rajeev (rvs) JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No