



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

211

CRM-M-7456-2025 (O&M)

Date of decision: 07.03.2025

Neetu

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present : Mr. Pankaj Mehta, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Yuvraj Shandilya, AAG, Haryana.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner in the instant (second) petition is seeking the concession of bail under Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 in case FIR No.441 dated 30.09.2022 under Sections 406, 420, 467, 468, 471, 472, 506, 120B of the IPC registered at Police Station Model Town, Panipat.

2. On the last date of hearing, an alternate prayer had also been made by the learned counsel for the petitioner for grant of interim bail to the petitioner on account of hospitalization of her father.

3. Learned State counsel, on instructions, has not disputed that the father of the petitioner was indeed hospitalized earlier, however, as per fresh instructions received by the learned State counsel, the father of the petitioner has been discharged from the hospital; thereafter, the mother of the petitioner has taken ill as a result of which she is now lying admitted in the hospital. It has not been disputed by the learned State counsel, on instructions, that the petitioner has minor



CRM-M-7456-2025 (O&M)

children, who have been with the petitioner's parents ever since she was arrested in the present case on 13.05.2023.

4. In addition to the submissions made on the previous date of hearing by the learned counsel for the petitioner with respect to the circumstances prevailing in her home on account of ill health of her parents, learned counsel for the petitioner has prayed for extending the concession of bail to the petitioner in the instant FIR on account of her long incarceration coupled with the fact that the entire case of the prosecution hinges on documentary evidence, which is part of the challan that already stands presented. It has been further contended by the learned counsel for the petitioner that out of the 31 witnesses cited by the prosecution, only 08 have been examined till date and, therefore, the possibility of the trial concluding in the near future does not arise. Learned counsel has, therefore, prayed that in the given facts and circumstances, there can be no apprehension of the petitioner tampering with evidence or intimidating/influencing the witnesses. A prayer has also been made to take a compassionate view in view of the fact that the husband of the petitioner, who too is a co-accused in the FIR in question, is also in custody, leaving none to take care of her minor children.

5. Per contra, learned State counsel while opposing the prayer made by the counsel opposite, has not disputed the custody period of the petitioner nor has he disputed the stage of trial, however, he has reiterated the contents of the FIR which are reproduced hereinunder:-

*“Copy of the application To TheHonble Sh. Anil Vijji,
Home Minister Haryana Government.*



CRM-M-7456-2025 (O&M)

*Subject: Application for registration of case against (1) **Neetu** Wife of Sachin Sindhu. 2. Sachin Sindhu Ro HNo 873 Dairy Mohalla Rohtak presently tenant Geeta W/o Kala Surya Nagar Gijhi Road, Saampla Mobile No 8222933994 and 3. Puja Wife of Dinesh and 4. Dinesh residents of Budhvihar, Delhi Mobile No 9953506692 for doing cheating and defrauding of money on the pretext of getting job and for threatening to kill on demanding back money for of money by cheating.*

*Sir, I, Naseeb S/O Sh.Vidya Nand is resident of Village and Post Ocedalawaas District CharkhiDadri, Myjijaji Late Anil Kumar S/o Ram Kishan R/o Village KhanpurKhurd, District Jhajjar, Haryana, had been working as Inspector in the Haryana Police Department and was posted in Panipat who had unfortunately died in a road accident on 12.03.2021. Myjija Anil when he was posted in District Panipat had given around Rs 52 lakhs to the aforesaid accused for getting job to me and our relatives known in the Delhi Police Department Haryana Roadways and other government departments. The accused No. 1 **Neetu** and her husband accused No 2 in connivance with accused No 3 had assured to get us jobs and they had usurped around Rs 52 lakhs from my jija Anil on the pretext of getting us jobs by cheating. After the death of my jija Anil he had left behind his wife and 2 children who are absolutely helpless Besides whose money was given in the name of getting job all of them are pressurizing deceased Anil's wife and my sister for taking back money. My sister's family have got completely shattered after the death of my jija. At present there is a very big problem about the subsistence of my sister and her children When I and my sister had talked with the aforesaid accused and had demanded back money then they had threatened us that if you talked about money again then you and your family will be killed is being threatened I had appeared before SP Panipat and had got written complaint against all the aforesaid accused during investigation of which the accused No 1 **Neetu** had accepted time and again before Police during the investigation of my complaints that that she had taken money on the pretext of getting us job and had further given it to accused No 3 but Panipat Police is intentionally not registering case against the abovementioned accused and is fling my complaints whose copies I have obtained through RTI which are enclosed. I request you with folded hands that SP Panipat may be ordered and strictest action may be taken against aforesaid accused for usurping Rs 52 lakhs by cheating on the pretext of getting job and for threatening to see my family on demanding back money.*



CRM-M-7456-2025 (O&M)

Thanking you. Naseeb S/O Sh. VidyaNand, Village Post Office, Dalawaas Tehsil Badhra District CharkhiDadri, Mobile No9728287181, Date 17.09.2022,”

6. Learned State counsel, on further instructions, has also not disputed that the entire case of the prosecution hinges on documentary evidence, and that the husband of the petitioner, who is co-accused in the present case, is in custody.

7. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the relevant material on record.

8. The entire case of the prosecution hinges on documentary evidence. The possibility of the trial concluding in the near future does not arise as only 08 witnesses out of the 31 cited by the prosecution, have been examined so far. In the facts and circumstances as enumerated hereinabove, this Court deems it fit to extend the concession of bail to the petitioner.

9. Accordingly, the instant petition is allowed. The petitioner be admitted to bail on her furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the Trial Court/Duty Magistrate concerned. However, it is made clear that anything observed hereinabove shall not be construed to be an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

10. Pending applications, if any, stand disposed of.

10. Needless to add, in case the petitioner misuses the concession of bail granted to her, the State would be at liberty to seek cancellation of the same.

07.03.2025

Vinay

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No