



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

220

CRM-M-7471-2025

Date of decision :27.03.2025

AJAYPAL

..... PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA

..... RESPONDENT

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE N. S. SHEKHAWAT

Present :- Mr. Dheeraj Narula, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajiv Sidhu, DAG, Haryana.

N. S. SHEKHAWAT, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner has filed the present petition under Section 482 of B.N.S.S with a prayer to grant anticipatory bail to him in case FIR No.14 dated 28.12.2021 under Sections 120-B, 409, 420, 467, 468, 471 of IPC, 1860 & Sections 13(2), 13(1)(c) of the Prevention of Corruption Act No.49 of 1988, registered at Police Station State Vigilance Bureau-Hisar, District State Vigilance Bureau, Haryana (Annexure P-1).

2. While granting the concession of interim anticipatory bail by this Court on 10.02.2025, the following contentions were noticed by this Court and the same have been reproduced below:-



CRM-M-7471-2025

2

“Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner had no concern with the alleged embezzled amount as neither he was the Sarpanch of the village nor acted as a Secretary of Gram Panchayat, rather, he had no connection at all with the affairs of the Gram Panchayat. Learned counsel next submits that even the dispute in the present case pertains to an amount of Rs.2483/- and as per the account statement (Annexure P-3) of the petitioner, the petitioner had never received any such amount in his account. Learned counsel further contends that the petitioner is ready to join the investigation in the present case.”

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner has reiterated the submissions and further submits that the petitioner has joined the investigation and his custodial interrogation may not be required.

4. Learned State counsel also submits that the petitioner has joined the investigation and is no longer required for further investigation.

5. In view of the above statement made by learned counsel for the parties, the interim order dated 10.02.2025 is made absolute. The petitioner shall continue to join the investigation, as and when called by the Investigating Officer. The petitioner shall also abide by the conditions as specified under Section 482 (2) of B.N.S.S.

(N. S. SHEKHAWAT)
JUDGE

27.03.2025

vipin

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes
Whether Reportable :	No