



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

352

**CWP-423-2003 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 06.08.2025**

KIRPAL SINGH

....Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present : None for the petitioner.

Mr. Satnampreet Singh Chauhan, DAG, Punjab.

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (Oral)

Prayer made in the present petition might not due to efflux of time, even be surviving.

Learned State counsel, on the other hand, refers to paras no. 4 & 5 of the preliminary objection and the reply filed on behalf of respondent no. 1 & 2-Deputy Chief Auditor Cooperative Society Punjab, wherein it has been stated that the option exercised by the petitioner was erroneously accepted by the Audit officer which was not in accordance with Rule 4 of the Punjab Civil Services Rule (Revised Scale of Pay rules, 1979) and the amendment pertained to only Rules 3, 5, 6, 7 & 8 and did not over right Rule 4 *ibid*. Thus, the pay was wrongly fixed terming the appointed day as 08.02.1978 by misinterpreting the notification dated 22.09.1981.

Notice issued to the petitioner has been received back un-served as address unknown/not found testified by watchman/chowkidar of area, thus, the present petition is disposed of with liberty to get it revived by demonstrating that the *lis* still subsists.

**(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE**

06.08.2025

Deepak Patwal

- | | | |
|----|---------------------------|--------|
| 1. | Whether speaking/reasoned | Yes/No |
| 2. | Whether reportable | Yes/No |