



214 **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-7380-2025

Date of Decision: 14.02.2025

Dilbag @ Dillu

...Petitioner

vs.

State of Haryana

...Respondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice N.S.Shekhawat

Present : Mr. Sandeep Saini, Advocate with
 Mr. Sumit Kumar, Advocate
 for the petitioner.

Ms. Sheenu Sura, DAG, Haryana with
Mr. Narender Kumar Parmar, AAG, Haryana.

N.S.Shekhawat J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner has filed the instant petition under Section 483 of BNSS with a prayer to grant regular bail to him in case FIR No.450 dated 10.08.2024 registered under Sections 109(1), 109(2), 115, 190, 191(3), 324(4), 351(2), 351(3) of BNS, at Police Station City Jagadhri.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that as per the case of the prosecution, several assailants had allegedly attacked the complainant and had caused injuries to him. However, Ayush Kumar, injured had suffered only two minor injuries in the present case. Even the petitioner was not named in the FIR and has been nominated as an accused on the basis of the disclosure statement made by his co-accused Harsh Kumar. Even in the said statement, no overt act has been attributed to the present petitioner and he is only shown to be present at the place of occurrence. Learned counsel further contends that the



petitioner was arrested in the present case on 18.09.2024 and is in custody for the last about 05 months. He further contends that similarly placed co-accused, namely, Akhil and Nitin Kumar have been granted the concession of bail by this Court.

3. On the other hand, learned State counsel has vehemently opposed the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner on the ground that serious allegations have been levelled against the present petitioner and he does not deserve the concession of bail by this Court.

4. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

5. In the present case, the injuries suffered by Ayush Kumar, injured have already been declared to be simple in nature. The petitioner is in custody for the last about 05 months and the challan has already been presented against him. Further, Akhil and Nitin Kumar, co-accused have already been granted the concession of bail by this Court.

6. Without commenting on the merits of the case, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail subject to his furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate/Chief Judicial Magistrate, concerned.

(N.S.SHEKHAWAT)
JUDGE

14.02.2025
hemlata

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No