

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**113**

**CWP-3604-2025**

**Date of Decision : May 16, 2025**

**DR. GAJENDER YADAV ALIAS GAJENDER SINGH**

**-PETITIONER**

**V/S**

**DIRECTOR GENERAL, HIGHER EDUCATION DEPARTMENT,  
HARYANA AND OTHERS**

**-RESPONDENTS**

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI**

Present: Mr. Gaurav Mohunta, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Bhupender Singh, D.A.G., Haryana.

Mr. Arjun Pratap Atma Ram, Advocate  
for the respondent(s).

\*\*\*

**KULDEEP TIWARI, J. (ORAL)**

1. The prayer wrapped in the instant writ petition appertains to issuance of directions upon the respondents No.3 to 5/Committee, as constituted by the respondent No.2, to conclude and submit the enquiry report with regard to the irregularities carried out by Mahashe Sedu Ram Shiksha Samiti, Kanina, District Mahendergarh.

2. When the instant writ petition came up for hearing on 10.03.2025, this Court had, without issuing notice, directed the learned State counsel to, after having instructions from the respondent No.1-Director General, Higher Education Department, inform this Court as to how much time is required to conclude the enquiry launched on the complaint made by the petitioner.

3. When the directions (supra) could not be complied with by the learned State counsel, on account of him being not purveyed the requisite information by the respondent No.1, this Court was led to pass the following order on 24.04.2025:-

*“The compliance of order dated 10.03.2025, has not been made yet.*

*On the last date of hearing, i.e. 27.03.2025, learned State counsel had sought an adjournment to make compliance of the order (supra). Today, learned State counsel informs this Court that despite making repeated efforts to have instructions, from respondent no.1- Director General, Higher Education Department (Technical Education), Haryana, no information was provided to him.*

*In these circumstances, this Court is left with no other option except to issue notice upon respondent no.1, to explain, as to why the contempt proceedings may not be initiated against him/her for not providing apt instructions as sought by this Court vide order (supra), by filing a specific affidavit, on or before the next date of hearing positively.*

*In case, no response is filed to the show cause notice by respondent no.1, he/she shall cause his/her personal appearance before this Court.*

*Adjourned to 03.05.2025.*

*To be shown in the urgent list.*

*It is made clear that no further request for an adjournment shall be entertained on the next date of hearing, on behalf of either of the parties.*

*The office of Advocate General, Haryana, as well as the Registry of this Court, are directed to convey this order to respondent no.1, forthwith.”*

4. In deference to the directions enclosed in the hereinabove extracted order, the learned State counsel, on 03.05.2025, filed the affidavit of Mr. Prabhjot Singh, Director General, Technical Education

Department, Haryana, before this Court, which was taken on record. Moreover, this affidavit was accompanied by the report of the enquiry committee.

5. Since the grievance sketched by the petitioner in the instant writ petition has already been resolved, inasmuch as, the enquiry committee has already concluded the enquiry and submitted its report, therefore, no further direction is required to be passed. Hence, the instant writ petition is closed. However, if any of the parties fetches any grievance from the enquiry report (supra), it is at liberty to take the apposite legal recourse for redressal thereof.

**6. Disposed of accordingly.**

**May 16, 2025**  
**devinder**

**(KULDEEP TIWARI)**  
**JUDGE**

|                                  |          |               |
|----------------------------------|----------|---------------|
| <b>Whether speaking/reasoned</b> | <b>:</b> | <b>Yes/No</b> |
| <b>Whether Reportable</b>        | <b>:</b> | <b>Yes/No</b> |