



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

110

CWP-3441-2025 (O&M)

Date of decision: 07.02.2025

Jitender

...Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ

Present :- Mr. Ravinder Singh Dhull, Advocate for the petitioner.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

The instant petition has been preferred by the petitioner seeking issuance of an appropriate writ especially in the nature of Mandamus directing the respondent-Commission to recommend the name of the petitioner for the post of Group D as he has secured more than the cut-off marks under (ESM) Category as per the additional result dated 10.08.2024.

After arguing at some length, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that as regards his grievances mentioned in the petition, the petitioner has already sent a representation dated nil (Annexure P-8) to the respondent(s) and he would be satisfied in case respondent No. 2-Secretary, Haryana Staff Selection Commission, Panchkula is directed to consider and decide the said representation by passing a well reasoned and speaking order in a time bound manner.

Notice of motion.



Mr. Tapan Kumar, DAG Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents-State and submits that he has no objection to the aforesaid prayer being granted.

With the consent of the parties, the present petition is accordingly **disposed of** without commenting on the merits of the present case and with a direction to respondent No. 2-Secretary, Haryana Staff Selection Commission, Panchkula to take note of the representation dated nil (Annexure P-8) sent by the petitioner and to pass a well reasoned and speaking order thereupon after granting an opportunity of hearing to the respective parties in accordance with law and preferably within a period of 03 months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

07.02.2025
Mangal Singh

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No