



....Petitioner

....Respondent

• • • • •

“Reply dated 04.03.2025 in the form of an affidavit of Deputy Superintendent of Police, Guhla, District Kaithal, has been filed. The same is taken on record. Copy thereof has been supplied to learned counsel for the petitioner.



2. *Heard.*

3. *It is inter alia contended by learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner is innocent and having no criminal antecedents and has been falsely implicated in this case on the basis of alleged disclosure statement made by co-accused Satnam Singh with whom the petitioner has no concern.*

4. *Learned State counsel has referred to the reply filed by the State to submit that the petitioner had telephonic conversation with the coaccused Satnam Singh as mentioned in para 6 of the reply filed by the State.*

5. *However, on perusing the same, it transpires that the alleged recovery pertains to 11.12.2024 and in fact, there is no call details either on that date or immediately prior to the said recovery.*

6. *Be it the case, without commenting on the merits, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation within seven days from today and in the event of his arrest, he is ordered to be released on interim bail on his furnishing personal/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer/Investigating Officer. He shall also abide by conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023.*

7. *List on 02.05.2025.*

8. *The concerned Deputy Superintendent of Police who had filed the affidavit is directed to remain present in Court on the next date of hearing."*

4. Learned State counsel on instructions received from Investigating Officer of the case informs the Court that the petitioner has joined the investigation and is neither required for further investigation nor for any custodial interrogation.

5. Keeping in view the above submissions made by learned State counsel and the fact that the petitioner had joined the investigation consequent to the order dated 07.04.2025 passed by this Court, interim



bail granted vide order dated 07.04.2025 is hereby confirmed, subject to conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) of BNSS. Further the petitioner is directed to join investigation as and when required in future by way of written notice for such purpose to be served by Investigating Officer of this case upon the petitioner; he will not tamper with the evidence nor will influence the witnesses and will not leave the country without prior permission of the Court.

6. The petition stands allowed.
7. It is made clear that anything contained hereinabove shall not be construed to be an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

02.05.2025
puneet

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

- | | | |
|-----|----------------------------|--------|
| i) | Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| ii) | Whether reportable? | Yes/No |