



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

113

CRWP-1210-2025
Decided on : 11.02.2025

Gaurav Sharma and another

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

PRESENT: Mr. Sarvesh Kumar Gupta, Advocate and
Mr. Anil Kumar Saini, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Amandeep Singh, DAG, Punjab.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J. (Oral)

1. At the outset, counsel for the petitioners submits that in compliance to the order dated 05.02.2025, passed by this Court, the amended memo of parties has already been filed in the registry, however, the same is not appended with the present petition.

However, later on registry has produced the receipt dated 06.02.2025, *qua* the filing of amended memo of parties. Same is taken on record, subject to all just exceptions. Registry is directed to tag the amended memo of parties at the appropriate place in the present petition.

2. Prayer in the instant petition, filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, is for issuance of direction to the official respondents to provide protection of lives and liberty to the petitioners, who have married against the wishes of the private respondents.

The details, relevant for decision of the present petition, are



tabulated as under:-

	Petitioner No. 1	Petitioner No. 2
Name(s)	Gaurav Sharma	Anjali
Age (in years)	29 years	20 years
Date of marriage	03.02.2025	
Rite	Hindu Rites	
Represented on	03.02.2025	
Submitted to	Senior Superintendent of Police, Patiala	
Family members arrayed as	Respondents No.4 & 5	

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners have solemnized marriage against the wishes of their family members, who are threatening and interfering in the matrimonial life of the petitioners. It is the first marriage of both the petitioners. Hence, the petitioners are seeking protection in that regard and have approached this Court by way of filing the instant petition. They have also submitted a representation dated 03.02.2025 (Annexure P-5), to respondent No.2 – Senior Superintendent of Police, Patiala, wherein, they have expressed their apprehension.

4. Notice of motion to the official respondents only.

5. On asking of the Court, learned State counsel accepts notice on behalf of the respondent – State. Let requisite copies of the complete paper book be supplied to learned State counsel during course of the day.

6. In view of the above, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 – Senior Superintendent of Police, Patiala, to look into the representation dated 03.02.2025 (Annexure P-5), *qua* threat perception, and if there is any substance in it, take necessary steps, in accordance with law, to ensure that the lives and liberty of the petitioners are not jeopardized at the hands of the private respondents.

7. However, this direction will not validate the marriage said to



have taken place between the parties and will have no effect on any civil or criminal action, which could be initiated in the matter in accordance with law.

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

February 11, 2025
J.Ram

Whether speaking/reasoned: *Yes/No*
Whether Reportable: *Yes/No*