

CWP-3345-2025
CWP-3386-2025

1

2025.PHHC.017715



132+135

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

1) **CWP-3345-2025**
Date of Decision:06.02.2025

MANDEEP AND OTHERS Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS Respondents

2) **CWP-3386-2025**

VIRENDER SINGH AND OTHERSPetitioners

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERSRespondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present : Mr. R.K. Malik, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Rajesh K. Kataria, Advocate and
Mr. Tanvir, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Ms. Rajni Gupta, Addl. AG, Haryana
for respondents No.1 & 2 in CWP No.3345-2025 and
for the respondents in CWP-3386-2025.

Mr. Vivek Saini, Advocate
for respondent No.3 in CWP No.3345-2025.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

1. By this common order CWP-3345-2025 and CWP-3386-2025 are disposed of since issues involved in the above captioned petitions and prayer sought are common. With the consent of parties and for the sake of brevity, facts are borrowed from CWP-3345-2025.



2. The petitioners through instant petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India are seeking direction to respondent to protect their tenure and pay as per Haryana Contractual Employees (Security of Service) Act, 2024 (for short ‘2024 Act’).
3. The petitioners are claiming that they are working with respondents for last more than five years and are entitled to protection extended by 2024 Act. The respondent is bound to protect their tenure as well as pay as per said Act.
4. Learned counsels for the respondents submit that claim of petitioners would be considered as per 2024 Act and needful would be done within two months from today.
5. Learned Senior counsel for the petitioner agrees with aforesaid arrangement.
6. In the wake of statement of both sides, the petitions stand disposed of.
7. It is made clear that till the date of passing of order by respondent, petitioners would not be retrenched.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

06.02.2025
Ali

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No