



**124 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-7600-2025**

**Date of Decision:06.03.2025**

**RADHIKA CHANANA**

**...Petitioner**

**Vs.**

**STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER**

**...Respondent**

**CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL**

Present: Mr. Amit Jhanji, Senior Advocate with  
Mr. Shashank Sharma, Advocate  
Ms. Beleena Biju, Advocate and  
Mr. Sidhant Virmani, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr. B.S. Virk, Senior DAG, Haryana.

**SANDEEP MOUDGIL, J. (Oral)**

The present petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.c for quashing of the FIR No. 0066 dated 12.01.2020 under Section 174-A IPC, 1860, registered at Police Station Panipta City District Panipat arising out of NACT No. 2323 of 2017 dated 07.09.2017 (Annexure P-1), and all consequential proceedings qua the petitioner, wherein the present petition was named as an accused.

In compliance of the order dated 27.02.2025 passed by this Court, affidavit dated 04.03.2025 of the petitioner has been filed in Court today, which is taken on record.

Learned senior counsel has contended that infact the main petition under Section 138 of the Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881, stands quashed by this Court in CRM-M-6962-2023 decided on 31.01.2024, (Annexure P-2), titled as Radhika Chanana Vs. State of Haryana and



another along with bunch of other such petitions arising out of the multiple transactions between the parties.

At the pre-liminary hearing, this Court has pointed out that the present FIR under Section 174-A IPC ought to have been pointed out at the time when the main complaint was ordered to be quashed by this Court vide its order dated 31.01.2024 passed in CRM-M-6962-2023, when Mr. Jhanji sought time to seek instructions/clarification in this regard from the petitioner. In clarification, affidavit has been filed today showing bona fide error on the part of the petitioner whereby due to oversight and less knowledge of legal entricacies regarding the pendency of the present FIR, the error was neither intentional nor deliberate. The present relevant part in the affidavit would be suffice to reproduce herein, which is as under:

2. *I state that multiple complaints under Section 138 of the Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881, were field against me, and I was residing outside India at the relevnt time. Due to my absence from the country, I had no knowledge of these proceedings and was unaware that FIRs under Sections 174-A of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973, has also been registered against me.*

3. *As soon as I became aware of the complaints, I immediately took legal recourse and filed petitions for quashing the same.*

4. *In the proceedings pertaining to CRM-M-6962 of 2023 before this Hon'ble Court, whereby the complaints against me were quashed, I in view of the aforesaid was unable to disclose the fact that an FIR under Section 147A of the CrPC was logged against me.*

Learned senior counsel has also not opposed if the present FIR is quashed particularly in light of the main complaint under Sectin 138 of NI Act having been quassed by this Court vide order dated 31.01.2024,



inasmuch as the pendency of the instant FIR would be a futile exercise wherein the main grievance already stands redressed and does not survive any more.

In view of above, FIR No. 0066 dated 12.01.2020 under Section 174-A IPC, 1860, registered at Police Station Panipat City District Panipat arising out of NACT No. 2323 of 2017 dated 07.09.2017 and all consequential proceedings are quashed qua the petitioner.

File bearing CRM-M-6962-2023 be sent back.

**(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)**  
**JUDGE**

**06.03.2025**

*kv*

*Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No*

*Whether reportable : Yes/No*