


2025:PHHC:060947



CRM-M-7276 of 2025 (O & M)

::1::

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-7276 of 2025 (O & M)

Date of decision:08.05.2025

Jagbir

..... Petitioner(s)

V/s

State of Haryana and anr.

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASJIT SINGH BEDI

Present: Mr. Parveen Sharma, Advocate,
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Kiran Pal Singh, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Vishal Goel, Advocate,
for respondent No.2.

JASJIT SINGH BEDI, J. (Oral)

The prayer in this petition is for quashing of FIR No.06 dated 07.01.2025 under Sections 115, 190, 191(3), 324(2), 333, 351(2) of BNS, 2023 registered at Police Station Ganaur, District Sonipat and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 30.01.2025 (Annexure P-3).

Vide order dated 10.02.2025 this Court had directed the parties to appear before Illaqa Magistrate for getting their statements recorded in terms of certain parameters given in the aforesaid order dated 10.02.2025 with regard to the compromise (Annexure P-3).

2025:PHHC:060947



CRM-M-7276 of 2025 (O & M)

::2::

In terms of the order dated 10.02.2025 passed by this Court, the parties have appeared before the court of Additional Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.)-cum-Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Ganaru and as per the report dated 01.03.2025 submitted to this Court, both the parties have got recorded their respective statements in Court.

A perusal of the aforesaid report would show that the parties have effected a genuine compromise without there being any pressure, coercion or undue influence. In view of the compromise there is a remote possibility of the complainant coming forward to support the prosecution case. The powers under Section 482 Cr.PC can be exercised in such like situation in order to prevent unnecessary vagaries of criminal trial to be faced by the parties, when there are remote chances of conviction of the accused. The compromise in question is found to be fully in consonance with the direction issued by the Court in ***Kulwinder Singh & Ors. Vs. State of Punjab 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052 and Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab & Anr., 2012(4) RCR (Crl.) 543.***

So far as the co-accused of the present petitioner-Jagbir, namely, Mohit, Babita, Ravi and Deepak are concerned, the FIR in question and the subsequent proceedings arising therefrom stand quashed vide a separate order of even date passed in CRM-M-4001 of 2025 titled as 'Mohit and others versus State of Haryana and anr.'.

In view of the aforesaid report of the Additional Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.)-cum-Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Ganaru accompanied by

2025:PHHC:060947



CRM-M-7276 of 2025 (O & M)

::3::

the joint statement of both the parties, the present FIR No. 06 dated 07.01.2025 under Sections 115, 190, 191(3), 324(2), 333, 351(2) of BNS, 2023 registered at Police Station Ganaur, District Sonipat, and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom are hereby quashed qua the petitioners herein.

Petition stands disposed of.

**(JASJIT SINGH BEDI)
JUDGE**

May 08, 2025
sukhpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No