

1

AT CHANDIGARH

Date of Decision : February 18, 2025

.. Petitioner

Versus

Rohtak, Haryana and others

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Ravinder Malik, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Saurabh Girdhar, Assistant Advocate General, Haryana.

Mr. Sunil Kumar Pandey, Advocate, for
Mr. S.P. Chahar, Advocate, for respondent No.3.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the order dated 30.11.2022 (Annexure P-10) passed by the Tribunal under the Maintenance and Welfare of Parents and Senior Citizen Act, 2007, has been impugned in the present petition by which, direction has been given to the petitioner to pay Rs.8000/- per month to his grandmother and the same was paid till the said order was stayed by the Coordinate Bench of this Court while passing order dated 17.02.2023 and now, unfortunately the grandmother has passed away.

2. Learned counsel for respondent No.3 does not dispute the said fact.

**CWP-3323-2023****2**

3. Keeping in view the fact that only direction given to the petitioner was to pay a sum of Rs.8000/- to the grandmother and the same was paid upto the date when the impugned order was stayed and now the grandmother has unfortunately passed away, no further orders are required to be passed in the present writ petition as the same has been rendered infructuous.

4. The present writ petition is disposed of accordingly.

February 18, 2025*harsha***(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)****JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes

Whether reportable : No