



CWP-3294-2025

:1:

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

131

CWP-3294-2025 (O&M)

Date of decision : 05.02.2025

PRATIBHA KAUSHIK AND OTHERS

..... Petitioners

VERSUS**CENTRAL BOARD OF SECONDARY EDUCATION AND OTHERS**

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI*******

Present :- Mr. Deepanshu Bansal, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. B. S. Seemar, Advocate
for the respondents No.1 to 4.

Mr. Gaurav Jindal, Additional AG, Haryana.

*********Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)**

1. In the present petition, the grievance is being raised by six minor students who are suppose to appear in the 10th and 12th examination which is scheduled from 15.02.2025 is being conducted by the Central Board of Secondary Education with a prayer that as there is only one school i.e. Govrnment Model Sanskriti Senior Secondary School, Morni Hills, District Panchkula, Haryana being affiliated to the Central Board of Secondary Education and the aforementioned school should be made their examination centre rather than asking them to travel to Amravati Vidyalaya Senior Secondary School, Panchkula which has been made their

**CWP-3294-2025****:2:**

examination centre is situated approximately 40 kilometers away.

2. Keeping in view the advance copy given, learned counsel appearing on behalf of respondents No.1 to 4 submits that the examination centres are allocated by the experts by taking into consideration all the relevant input and in the present case, the petitioners-students are seeking that the school where they were studying, should be made their examination centre which is not acceptable and therefore, the present petition may kindly be dismissed.

3. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and have gone through the case file with their able assistance.

4. The fixation of the examination centre so as to hold the examination in a transparent manner, is the job of the Central Board of Secondary Education unless and until it is pointed out that any action in conducting the examination is arbitrary and illegal, then only the Court will interfere. Merely that the petitioners have some difficulty in appearing for examination at the examination centre allocated to them for 10th and 12th examination, the same cannot be a ground to change the examination centre.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioners-students submits that the examination centre fixed for the petitioners-students is 40 kilometers away which is being disputed to say that the same is approximately 27 kilometers away from the school, which the petitioners seek to be their examination centre. Merely that a school which is 27 kilometers away has been fixed as examination centre, cannot be a ground to accept the plea of the petitioners-students to change the same.

**CWP-3294-2025****:3:**

6. Further, learned counsel for the petitioners-students lives in Pinjore and the petitioners have engaged him after travelling more than 40 kilometers. In case, the petitioners-students can avail the services of a legal practitioner who is 40 kilometers away from their residence hence, there is no reason with the Court to believe that a school which is 27 kilometers away and has been allocated as the examination centre by Central Board of Secondary Education, is arbitrary and illegal or is beyond the reach of the petitioners to appear for the examination concerned i.e. 10th and 12th class examination.

7. In case, the petitioners-students feels that they need a transport facility which can be provided by the State so as to facilitate the minor students in appearing for the examination, the petitioners are free to move an appropriate representation before the Deputy Commissioner, Panchkula, who will consider the same and in case, the Deputy Commissioner, Panchkula is of the view that the Government transport facility can be given to them for appearing in the examination, the same be allowed in a manner required.

8. The present petition is disposed of accordingly.

9. Pending applications, if any, also stand disposed of accordingly.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

05.02.2025

Rimpal

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes

Whether Reportable :

No