



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

112

CRWP-1198-2025(O&M)
Date of Decision: 06.02.2025

AMANDEEP KANDHOLA

....Petitioner

VERSUS**STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORS**

....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANISHA BATRA

Present: Mr. Ranjivan Singh, Advocate and
Mr. Risham Raag Singh, Advocates for the petitioner.

Ms. Swati Batra, DAG, Punjab.

Respondents No. 6 & 7 in person along with
Mr. Amitoj Singh Dhaliwal, Advocate.

MANISHA BATRA, J. (Oral)

1. The case has been again take up at 3:00 P.M.
2. Report has been received from the Mediation and Conciliation Centre of this Court, as per which, a settlement has been arrived at between the petitioners and the private respondents no. 6 & 7. The terms of the settlement have also been reduced into writing which is annexed with the report.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioner as well as respondents no.6 & 7 have, however, submitted that since after signing the settlement, some objections have been raised by respondent no.6 with regard to the installation of a camera in the room of their mother as per condition mentioned at Serial No.7 of the settlement, as of now, petitioner has no objection if the camera is installed where his mother is staying and all the terms and conditions of the settlement accepted by both the parties in the Court also.
4. In view of above fact, the petition is disposed. The parties shall abide by terms and conditions of the settlement which is arrived at between them.
5. Pending application, if any, also stands disposed of.

(MANISHA BATRA)
JUDGE

06.02.2025

Deepak Patwal

- | | | |
|----|----------------------------------|---------------|
| 1. | <i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i> | <i>Yes/No</i> |
| 2. | <i>Whether reportable</i> | <i>Yes/No</i> |