



**367 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

\*\*\*\*

**CWP-4630-2003 (O&M)  
Date of Decision: 22.12.2025**

Sewa Ram

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and Others

...Respondents

**CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL**

Present:- None for the petitioner.

Ms. Rajni Gupta, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

\*\*\*\*

**JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (ORAL)**

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India is seeking setting aside of notice of retirement dated 15.02.2003 whereby he was ordered to retire at the age of 55 years.

2. The respondent by impugned notice/order has retired the petitioner on attaining the age of 55 years. The said order has been passed in exercise of power conferred by Rule 9.18(1)(c) of Punjab Police Rules, 1934 (as applicable to State of Haryana) (in short 'PPR'). The impugned order was passed in February' 2003. Had the impugned order not been passed, the petitioner would have worked for three more years. He was part of Haryana Police Force and his service was pensionable, thus, he must have received pension which is 50% of last drawn salary. He had not worked during said period.

3. At this stage, this Court does not find it appropriate to set aside order whereby petitioner was made to retire.

4.           Accordingly, the instant petition is hereby ***dismissed***.
5.           The petitioner is at liberty to move an appropriate application within three months from today, if cause survives.
6.           Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)  
JUDGE

22.12.2025  
*Prince Chawla*

|                           |        |
|---------------------------|--------|
| Whether Speaking/reasoned | Yes/No |
| Whether Reportable        | Yes/No |