



CR-792-2025

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

(124)

CR-792-2025

Date of Decision: - 06.02.2025

Ravinder Kumar

....Petitioner

Versus

Karamjit Kaur and others

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present:- Mr. Mohd. Yousaf, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

1. Present revision petition has been filed under Article 227 of the Constitution of India for setting aside the impugned order dated 21.01.2025 (Annexure P-6) passed by the Civil Judge (Junior Division), Malerkotla.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that in the present case, the petitioner is the plaintiff and has filed a civil suit for possession by way of specific performance of agreement to sell dated 27.10.1995 and has further submitted that the delay in the proceeding would not benefit the present petitioner. It is submitted that the petitioner has already examined four witnesses and seeks only one last opportunity to conclude his entire evidence. It is further submitted that till date no



CR-792-2025

-2-

defence evidence has been led and in case one last opportunity is not granted to the petitioner, then, irreparable loss would be caused to him. It is stated that for the inconvenience caused to respondents No.1 and 2, the petitioner is ready to pay reasonable cost. It has been pointed out that respondent No.3/defendant No.3 has been proceeded against ex-parte, whereas, the suit qua respondent No.4/defendant No.4 has already been withdrawn.

3. Keeping in view the above-said facts and circumstances, this Court is of the opinion that the petitioner should be granted one effective opportunity to conclude his entire evidence, at his own responsibility and accordingly, the present revision petition is partly allowed and the impugned order dated 21.01.2025 is set aside to the extent that the evidence of the petitioner has been closed by order and the petitioner is granted one last effective opportunity to conclude his entire evidence, at his own responsibility and the same would be subject to the petitioner depositing a cost of Rs.20,000/- within a period of two weeks from today. On depositing the said amount, the same would be released by the trial Court to respondents No.1 and 2 in equal proportions i.e., Rs.10,000/- each.

4. It is made clear that in case, the said cost is not deposited by the petitioner within a period of two weeks from today, the present revision petition would be deemed to have been dismissed.

5. It would be relevant to mention that notice of motion has not been issued to respondents No.1 and 2 as issuance of any notice would

further delay the proceedings in the petition and would also entail expenses for respondents No.1 and 2 in order to defend the present petition. However, it would be open to respondents No.1 and 2 to move an application for recalling the present order in case, any of the statement made before this Court is found to be false/incorrect.

February 06, 2025

naresh.k

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No