



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

212

CRM-M-6767-2025
Date of decision: 21.07.2025

ANILPetitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA ...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

Present : Mr. Sanyam Khetarpal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Sunny Namdev, AAG, Haryana

SANJAY VASHISTH. J.(Oral)

1. Prayer in this petition, filed under Section 482 of the BNSS, 2023 (earlier Section 438 Cr.P.C.), is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner, who has been booked in a criminal case arising out of First Information Report, as detailed hereunder:-

Name of Petitioner (s)	FIR No.	Date	Section(s)	Police Station	District
ANIL	11	05.01.2025	115/126/190 /191(2)/ 351(2) BNS (Sections 61(2), 115(2), 126(2)/140(3)/308(4)/309 (4) of BNS added later on)	Palla Faridabad	Faridabad

2. On 20.02.2025, following order was passed:-

“Status report filed by way of affidavit dated 19.02.2025 of Rajesh Kumar Lohan, HPS, Assistant Commissioner of Police, Sarai, Faridabad, on behalf of respondent-State, is taken on record. Copy thereof supplied to the opposite side. Registry to do the needful.

From perusal of the affidavit, it transpires that primarily allegations have



been levelled against the wife of petitioner and not against the petitioner. Posted for 08.04.2025.

In the meanwhile, petitioner shall join investigation before the Investigating Officer; but he be not arrested till the next date of hearing.”

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that in compliance of the order dated 20.02.2025, passed by this Court, the petitioner has joined the investigation, and has fully co-operated.

He further submits that petitioner and his other co-accused have settled the dispute with all the members of the complainant party, thus submits that there is no requirement of custodial interrogation of the petitioner.

4. Learned State counsel submits that though he is not aware about any such compromise but does not dispute the fact of joining of investigation by the petitioner. He further submits that the custodial interrogation of the petitioner would not be required now for the purpose of investigation.

5. Mr. Amit Choudhary, Advocate, put in an appearance on behalf of the respondent, and files his *Power of Attorney*, which is taken on record, and he endorses the fact of compromise between the parties and submits that the dispute has amicably resolved.

6. Heard learned counsel for the parties.

7. Since the petitioner has joined the investigation, custodial interrogation is no more required, present petition is allowed and ad-interim order dated 20.02.2025, passed by this Court is hereby made absolute.

However, petitioner shall continue to join the investigation as and when required to do so and abide by all the terms and conditions laid down under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023.

8. Accordingly, petition stands disposed of.

21.07.2025
amandeep

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned. : Yes/No
Whether Reportable. : Yes/No