

2025:PHHC:020597



**IN THE PUNJAB AND HARYANA HIGH COURT AT
CHANDIGARH**

112

CWP-3895-2025

Date of Decision: 13.02.2025

RANI

... Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ.

Present: Mr. R.S. Mamli, Advocate for the petitioner.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (ORAL)

Prayer in the present petition is for directing the respondents to pay equal amount of payment as is being paid to other person namely Kelo Devi and further to enhance the salary of the petitioner and also to pay the family pension to her.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the case of the petitioner is at par with Ms. Kelo Devi, who is getting salary as a regular employee; whereas the petitioner is being paid fixed payment. He further submits that the petitioner has requested the respondents for a number of occasions to redress her grievance, but nothing has been done till date and case of the petitioner is being put off on false pretenses.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Tapan Kumar, DAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the respondents and prays for some time to seek instructions and file response, if so advised.

Learned counsel for the petitioner, however, contends that he would be satisfied at this stage, in case respondent No.2- Director General, Transport Department, Haryana, Chandigarh is directed to treat the present petition as a representation and to consider and decide the same by passing a speaking order in a time bound manner.

Learned State Counsel does not object to the request made by learned counsel for the petitioner.

Accordingly, in view of the above; with the consent of the parties and without commenting anything on the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of while directing respondent No.2 to treat the present writ petition as a representation and to consider and decide the same by passing a reasoned and speaking order after affording an opportunity of hearing to the respective parties within a period of three months of the receipt of certified copy of this order.

Needless to mention that upon considering the case of the petitioner, if the claim of the petitioner is found to be genuine and admissible in accordance with law, the needful be done within a further period of two months.

Petition stands disposed of accordingly.

FEBRUARY 13, 2025.
Rajender

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No