



135 **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-A-972-MA-2013 (O & M)
Date of decision: 30.07.2025

PARDEEP KUMAR

...APPLICANT

V/S

ASSG MARKETING PVT LTD & OTHERS

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR

Present: Ms. Divya Sharma, Advocate for the applicant.

None for respondent No.3.

HARPREET SINGH BRAR, J. (ORAL)

1. The present application has been preferred under Section 378(4) of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (hereinafter 'Cr.P.C.') seeking grant of leave to appeal the judgment of acquittal dated 13.09.2013 passed by learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Bathinda in a complaint filed under Section 138 of the Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881 (hereinafter 'NI Act').
2. Today, the instant application has been listed in the urgent motion, in view of the order passed by Hon'ble the Chief Justice on 23.06.2025.
3. The Hon'ble Supreme Court in *M/s Celestium Financial vs. A. Gnanasekaran Etc., 2025(3) R.C.R. (Criminal) 208*, after considerable deliberation and comparative analysis of Sections 372 and 378(4) of Cr.P.C., concluded that the victim has a right to file an appeal under Section 372 of Cr.P.C. before the Court of Sessions. Reliance in this regard can also be placed on *Satish Kumar vs. Jugal Kishor in CRM-A-2700-MA-2018* decided on



CRM-A-972-MA-2013 (O & M) 2

02.07.2025. Further still, applying the doctrine of prospective overruling, the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *Directorate of Revenue Intelligence vs. Raj Kumar Arora* in 2025 SCC OnLine SC 819 has clarified that as a rule of thumb, judgments rendered shall be applicable retrospectively.

4. Therefore, in view of the judgment rendered by the Apex Court in *Celestium Financial (supra)*, the present application seeking leave to appeal is remanded back to the learned Sessions Judge, Bathinda with a direction to treat the same as filed under Section 372 of the Cr.P.C. and entrust it to appropriate Court for its disposal.

5. The Registry is directed to send the complete paper-book and the record of the case to the learned Sessions Judge, Bathinda forthwith.

6. Disposed of accordingly.

7. Pending miscellaneous applications, if any, also stand disposed of.

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)
JUDGE

July 30, 2025
manisha

(i)	Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
(ii)	Whether reportable	Yes/No