



**CRM-7641-2025 in/and
CRA-S-411-2025 (O&M)
DECIDED ON: 02.07.2025**

AMARJIT SINGH BRAR ALIAS AMARJIT SINGH ALIAS BAGGA
.....APPELLANT

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB

.....RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL.

Present: Mr. I.S. Khara, Advocate
for the appellant.

Mr. Sandeep Singh, AAG, Punjab.

SANDEEP MOUDGIL, J (ORAL)

1. Though the application seeking suspension of sentence of the applicant/appellant has been listed for hearing today but with the consent of learned counsel for the respective parties, the main appeal which stands admitted vide order dated 06.02.2025 passed by this Court, is taken on board for final hearing.

2. The instant appeal has been preferred by the appellant challenging Impugned Judgment of Conviction and Order of Quantum of Sentence dated 07.01.2025 vide which the appellant was sentenced to undergo Rigorous Imprisonment for a period of two years and to pay fine of Rs. 5000/- and in default of payment of fine to further undergo rigorous imprisonment for a period



of One month, u/s 18 of NDPS Act for the alleged recovery of 600-grams of opium.

3. At the very outset, learned counsel for the appellant contends that he does not want to challenge the conviction of the appellant on merits. However, he submits that in view of the circumstances of the case, the sentence awarded by the trial Court is on higher side.

4. Here it would be pertinent to mention that the appellant did not challenge his conviction on merits and only confined his relief qua quantum of sentence. This Court has also scrutinized the impugned judgment as well as the relevant documents/evidence and is of the considered view that there is no scope for any interference in impugned judgment as far as the conviction of the appellant is concerned. As such, the conviction of the appellant is upheld.

5. As far as quantum of sentence is concerned, there are mitigating circumstances to take a lenient view in the matter of sentence awarded by the trial court. The appellant has undergone the actual sentence of 6 months and 24 days out of total substantive sentence of 2 years as of now, as per the custody certificate of the appellant filed by learned State counsel in Court today. Thus, this court is of the considered view that a chance be given to the appellant to reform & improve himself; to become a good citizen; and to lead a peaceful & harmonious life.

6. Taking into consideration the above narrated discussion as well as the fact that the appellant has not challenged his conviction on merits, while affirming his conviction, the order of sentence is modified to the extent to the period already undergone by him with no change in fine clause.

7. With the aforesaid modification in the quantum of sentence, the



present appeal stands disposed off.

8. The appellant is ordered to be released forthwith in case he is not required in any other case.

9. The criminal misc. application i.e. CRM-7641-2025 seeking suspension of sentence of the applicant/appellant is disposed off, as having been rendered infructuous.

02.07.2025
anuradha/sham

(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No