



238 **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-7057-2025

Date of Decision: 11.02.2025

K Deep Singh

...Petitioner

vs.

State of Punjab

...Respondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice N.S.Shekhawat

Present : Mr. Ankush Rampal, Advocate for
 Mr. Joginder Pal Devgan, Advocate
 for the petitioner.


Mr.M.S.Bajwa, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

N.S.Shekhawat J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner has filed the instant petition under Section 483 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 with a prayer to grant regular bail in case FIR No.538 dated 16.12.2024 registered under Sections 109, 191(3), 190, 351(2) of BNS, 2023 and Section 25 of Arms Act, 1959, at Police Station Goindwal Sahib, District Tarn Taran.

2. The FIR in the present case was registered on the basis of the statement made by Perna Kaur wife of Jagroop Singh and the same has been reproduced below:-

“Statement of Prema Kaur wife of Jagroop Singh son of Mukhtiar Singh resident of Sanghar Kalan aged about 24 years Mobile No. 79019-75829. Stated that I am resident of aforementioned address and do the household work. Myself and my husband Jagroop Singh were coming back to our house after taking the medicine from Khadoor Sahib, then time would be about 07.00/07.15 PM that when we turned to the village from the place



of Baba Rattan Dass, then 10-15 persons who had Daangs, snubs and pistol and one of them said that Jagroop Singh is going there, catch them and kill. While hearing this fact, we ran away our motorcycle and all of them ran behind us and we while getting scared entered into the house of Mangal Singh son of Ajit Singh and in the meanwhile, my husband told me that out of the persons who have fired bullets with intent to kill, one person was named as K Deep Singh son of Not Known resident of Sanghar Kot and second was named as Bhinder son of Not Known resident of Muglani. We saved our life by entering into the house of Mangal Singh and the bullets crossed the gate of the house of Mangal Singh and one bullet hit into the water tank and we raised killing alarm, then all the above said persons ran away from the spot while threatening us and said that they will again meet Jagroop Singh. Reason of revenge is that fight between Jagroop Singh and K Deep Singh was taken place for sometimes ago on account of pigeons flying game. Due to that grudge, K Deep Singh resident of Sanghar Kot accompanied with his friend Bhinder Singh and other persons shot fires upon us with intent to kill. My husband Jagroop Singh is underground due to getting scared. Legal action may be taken against K Deep Singh and Bhinder Singh and other persons came alongwith them. Statement recorded, heard, is correct. I have recorded this statement in the presence of my uncle Avtar Singh son of Wassan Singh. SD/- Prena Kaur.”

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner is a young boy, aged about 18 years and has been falsely involved by the complainant in the present case. In fact, from the allegations levelled by the complainant, it is apparent that the complainant has tried to trespass the house and implicated several persons by assigning false role. Further, it is a case of no injury to anyone and the petitioner was wrongly arrested on 19.12.2024. He

further contends that the investigation against the petitioner is almost complete and his custody will not serve any meaningful purpose. He further contends that the petitioner is a young boy and is the first offender and he deserves sympathetic consideration by this Court.

4. On the other hand, learned State counsel has vehemently opposed the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner on the ground that serious allegations have been levelled against the present petitioner and he does not deserve the concession of bail by this Court.

5. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

6. The petitioner was arrested in the present case on 19.12.2024 and is in custody for the last more than 01 months and 15 days. Even no one has suffered any injury in the present case. The investigation has already been completed in the present case and further custody of the petitioner will not serve any meaningful purpose.

7. Without commenting on the merits of the case, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail subject to his furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate/Chief Judicial Magistrate, concerned.

11.02.2025
hemlata

(N.S.SHEKHAWAT)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No