

CRM-M-6947-2025
Date of decision: 03.04.2025

JAGROOP SINGH

....Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY

Present:- Mr. Ramandeep Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajinder Singh Bhatta, DAG Punjab.

• • • • •

SANJIV BERRY, J. (ORAL)

By way of present petition filed under Section 482 BNSS, 2023 the petitioner seeks anticipatory bail in case FIR (Annexure P-1) as under: -

FIR No.	Dated	Sections	Police Station
158	22.10.2024	15, 25, 29 of NDPS Act	Sadar Jagraon, District Ludhiana (Rural).

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance to the order dated 12.03.2025, the petitioner has joined the investigation.

3. During the course of hearing on 12.03.2025, following order had been passed: -

“*Heard.*

2. *Considering the arguments and perusing the record, it transpires that although the name of the petitioner figures in the FIR registered on the basis of secret information to be one of the member of the gang but no specific overt act is*



attributed to the petitioner nor he was found present at the time when recovery was effected from the co-accused.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that although FIR No.126 dated 09.08.2015 was registered against the petitioner but he has since been acquitted in the case vide judgment dated 05.02.2019 passed by learned Judge, Special Court (NDPS Act), Moga and has placed on record the copy of the judgment which fact is not disputed by the learned State counsel.

4. Be it the case, without commenting on the merits, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation within seven days from today and in the event of his arrest, he is ordered to be released on interim bail on his furnishing personal/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer/Investigating Officer. He shall also abide by conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023.

5. List on 03.04.2025.

6. Investigating Officer of the case to remain present in Court along with record on that date.”

4. Learned State counsel on instructions received from S.I. Surjit Singh informs the Court that the petitioner has joined the investigation and is neither required for further investigation nor for any custodial interrogation.

5. Keeping in view the above submissions made by learned State counsel and the fact that the petitioner had joined the investigation consequent to the order dated 12.03.2025 passed by this Court, interim bail granted vide order dated 12.03.2025 is hereby confirmed, subject to conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) of BNSS. Further the petitioner is directed to join investigation as and when required in future by way of written notice for such purpose to be served by Investigating Officer of this case upon the petitioner; he will not tamper with the



evidence nor will influence the witnesses and will not leave the country without prior permission of the Court.

- 6. The petition stands allowed.
- 7. It is made clear that anything contained hereinabove shall not be construed to be an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

03.04.2025
puneet

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

- | | | |
|-----|----------------------------|--------|
| i) | Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| ii) | Whether reportable? | Yes/No |