



CWP-11802-2001 (O&M)

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

105

CWP-11802-2001 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 30.04.2025

Nishan Chand

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present: - Mr. M.K. Dogra, Advocate for the petitioner

Mr. Aman Dhir, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India is seeking setting aside of orders dated 25.01.2001 (Annexure P-3), 21.12.1999 (Annexure P-5) and 30.12.1998 (Annexure P-7) whereby adverse remarks have been recorded in the Annual Confidential Report ('ACR').

2. The competent authority recorded adverse remarks in the petitioner's ACR for the period from 01.04.1997 to 31.03.1998. The adverse remarks read as under: -

"He is to improve his knowledge in the field of his subject i.e. Forensic Physics for which verbally advised him at least two times."

3. The petitioner unsuccessfully preferred representation before the higher authorities.

**CWP-11802-2001 (O&M)****-2-**

4. On the asking of Court, Mr. M.K. Dogra, Advocate submits that he has no clear instructions from his client.

5. Mr. Aman Dhir, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab, submits that the petitioner has retired on attaining the age of superannuation.

6. The scope of interference in ACR matters is very limited. An authority is best judge of subordinate's strength and weaknesses. In the absence of material irregularity, the Court cannot substitute opinion of the authorities.

7. In the backdrop, this Court is of the considered opinion that present petition deserves to be dismissed and accordingly dismissed.

8. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

30.04.2025*Mohit Kumar*

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No