



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

103

CWP-13544-2000 (O & M)

Date of Decision: 28.03.2025

Smt. Ganga Devi

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

Haryana Vidhyut Prasaran Nigam and others

....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Sajneev Kaushik, Mr. Divyanshu Kaushik,
Mr. Simran Sharma and Ms. Manreet Kaur, Advocates,
for HVPN.

Mr. Amrit S. Kang, Advocate, for respondent No.4.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India is seeking direction to respondent to extend her benefit of family pension.
2. The respondent has rejected claim of petitioner on the ground that she does not fall within the definition of 'dependant' as per applicable Rules.
3. There is no representation of the petitioner.



- 4. Dismissed with liberty to move an appropriate application within three months, if cause survives.
- 5. Pending application, if any, shall also stand disposed of.

28.03.2025	(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
shivani	JUDGE
Whether reasoned/speaking	Yes
Whether reportable	No