



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

382

CRM-M-9444-2025

Date of decision: 21.05.2025

Satnam Singh and others

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present : Mr. Satvir Singh Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Sahil Chowdhary, AAG Punjab.

Mr. S.S. Tiwana, Advocate for respondents No.2 to 11.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

1. The instant petition is for quashing of cross case registered vide DDR No.28 dated 02.01.2019 under Sections 452, 323, 325, 427, 148, 149 of the IPC and Sections 3(1)(N), (O), 3(2)(Va) of the Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities) Act, 1989 (for short, 'the SC/ST Act') in FIR No.3 dated 02.01.2019 under Sections 341, 323, 325, 148, 149 of the IPC and Section 3 of the SC/ST Act, registered at Police Station Fatehgarh Sahib and all consequential proceedings arising out of the same, on the basis of compromise (Annexure P-4) arrived at, between the parties.

2. Vide order dated 03.04.2025 of this Court, the parties were directed to appear before the learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate on 21.04.2025 to get their statements recorded regarding the compromise arrived at, between them.

3. Report has since been received from learned Judicial



CRM-M-9444-2025

-2-

Magistrate Ist Class, Fatehgarh Sahib in pursuance of the directions of this Court, wherein, the factum of the compromise arrived at between the parties stands verified and confirmed. As per the report compromise has indeed been effected between the parties and the same is without any pressure or coercion and out of their free will and the complainants have also made statements to the effect that they would have no objection if the DDR *qua* the accused-petitioners is quashed.

4. The Trial Court has annexed the statements of the parties in original, alongwith its report.

5. Learned State counsel too submits that there are no other accused other than the petitioners and respondents No.2 to 11 are the only aggrieved persons in the DDR in question.

6. In view of the report of the learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Fatehgarh Sahib and the principles laid down by Hon'ble the Apex Court in ***Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and others (2012) 10 SCC 303***, and also by the Full Bench of this Court in ***Kulwinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and another, 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052***, the instant petition is allowed. The aforesaid DDR and all consequential proceedings arising out of it, are quashed qua petitioners.

7. Needless to say the parties shall remain bound by the terms of compromise and their statements recorded before the Court below.

21.05.2025

Vinay

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No