



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

319

CR-865-2024 (O&M)
Date of decision : 20.11.2025

Harparsad Petitioner

versus

Um Rao and others Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PANKAJ JAIN

Present: Mr. Balram Singh, Advocate
for the appellant.

Mr. Aditya Jain, Advocate
for the respondents.

PANKAJ JAIN, J. (Oral)

CM-2677-CII-2024

This is an application for condonation of delay of 265 days
in filing the appeal.

For the reasons mentioned in the application, the same is
allowed. Delay of 265 days in filing the appeal stands condoned.

CR-865-2024

1. Challenge is to the Order dated 17.02.2023, whereby on an
application filed under VII Rule 11 CPC, the Trial Court has directed the
plaintiff to make deficiency good in the Court fee holding him liable to
pay ad-valorem Court fee. The plaintiff claims possessive title over the
suit property on the basis of a lease deed.

2. In the considered opinion of this Court, the issue regarding
Court fee payable by the plaintiff in the present case would fall within

will be required to pay ad-valorem Court fee on the annual rental value of the property and not on the market value of the property.

3. With the aforesaid observation, the present revision petition is disposed off. The plaintiff is granted four weeks time to make deficiency good.

4. Ordered accordingly.

(PANKAJ JAIN)
JUDGE

20.11.2025
Dinesh

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes
Whether Reportable :	No