



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

130

CWP-3165-2024 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 20.03.2025

Roshni Goyal and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURESHWAR THAKUR
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS SURI

Present:- Mr. Sandeep Thakan, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Ankur Mittal, Additional Advocate General, Haryana,
Ms. Svaneel Jaswal, Additional Advocate General, Haryana,
Mr. P.P. Chahar, Sr. Deputy Advocate General, Haryana,
Mr. Saurabh Mago, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana and
Mr. Karan Jindal, Assistant Advocate General, Haryana.

Mr. Parmod Sharma, Advocate, for the respondent-HSVP.

SURESHWAR THAKUR, J. (ORAL)

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the subject matter involved in the instant writ petition is squarely covered by the decision rendered by this Court in CWP-4769-2020.
2. Learned Addl. Advocate General, Haryana, does not dispute, the submission of the learned counsel for the petitioner.
3. Therefore, the instant writ petition is also disposed of in terms of the verdict rendered by this Court today in CWP-4769-2020, titled as *Anil Kumar Yadav and others vs. State of Punjab and others*.

(SURESHWAR THAKUR)
JUDGE

(VIKAS SURI)
JUDGE

March 20, 2025

harish

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No