



352                    **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

\*\*\*\*

**CWP-19533-2002 (O&M)**  
**Date of Decision: 30.10.2025**

Banarsi Dass and Another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and Others

...Respondents

**CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL**

Present:-    None for the petitioners.

Ms. Rajni Gupta, Addl. A.G. Haryana

\*\*\*\*

**JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (ORAL)**

1.            The petitioners through instant petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India are seeking direction to respondents to step up their pay at par with respondent No.6 who is getting higher pay despite being junior.

2.            The petitioners No.1 and 2 joined Haryana Police Force in 1965 and 1967 respectively. Respondent No.6 was deputed to Police Training College, Madhuban on 28.07.1980. Special seats in promotional course were allotted to officials posted in Training College. The respondent No.6 passed promotional course against allotted seat. He was brought on List-D on 05.05.1983 and promoted as Assistant Sub Inspector (**ASI**) on 13.05.1983. He was promoted as Sub Inspector (**SI**) w.e.f. 01.01.1986. The petitioners are claiming that they are seniors still getting lesser pay than respondent No.6.

3.            As per reply, respondent No.6 was promoted prior to

promotion of petitioners, thus, he became senior. Seniority of respondent No.6 was refixed vide order dated 29.07.1992 and his promotion as ASI and SI was treated as *adhoc* promotion. He filed **CWP No.11114 of 1992** before this Court assailing refixation of his pay and seniority. The said petition was dismissed. He filed SLP before Hon’ble Supreme Court which was also dismissed. Benefit of pay due to said *adhoc* promotion was given to respondent No.6 on his regular promotion as SI in Haryana Armed Police. Accordingly, pay of respondent No.6 was rightly refixed and petitioners are not entitled to benefit of step up.

4.           It appears that with the efflux of time petitioners have lost their interest to pursue the matter.
5.           Dismissed with liberty to petitioners to move an appropriate application within six months from today, if cause survives.
6.           Pending application(s), if any, also stands disposed of.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)

JUDGE

30.10.2025

Prince Chawla

|                           |        |
|---------------------------|--------|
| Whether Speaking/reasoned | Yes/No |
| Whether Reportable        | Yes/No |