

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

2025.PHHC.047318



CWP No. 13188-2000(O&M)

Date of Decision: 07.04.2025

Virender Singh

....Petitioner

VS.

Sugar Federation Haryana and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present: None for the petitioner

Mr. Ashwani Gaur, Advocate and
Ms. Shivangi Sharma, Advocate
for respondents No. 1 to 7

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (ORAL)

1. On 27.09.2000, notice of motion was issued. Notice regarding stay was also issued and it was made clear that appointment of respondent No. 8 would be subject to decision of the writ petition. Order dated 27.09.2000 reads as:-

“ Notice of motion for 30-10-2000.

Notice re: stay also.

In the meanwhile, it is ordered that appointment of Respondent No. 8, if made to the post in question, shall be subject to the decision of the writ petition.

The official Respondent No. 4 shall also mention the same in the appointment letter.

Copy of this order be give dasti to the learned counsel for the petitioner on payment of usual charges.”



2. Mr. Ashwani Gaur, Advocate submits that respondent No. 8 has already retired on attaining the age of superannuation, thus, cause does not survive.
3. There is no representation of the petitioner.
4. In the backdrop, the instant petition stands disposed of with liberty to petitioner to move an appropriate application within three months from today, if cause survives.
5. Pending Misc. application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

07.04.2025
paramjit

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes	
Whether reportable:		No