



CWP-3124-2025 &
CWP-3144-2025

-1-

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

104+105

CWP-3124-2025
Date of Decision :04.02.2025

Dr. Rupali Bal

...Petitioner

Versus

Public Information Officer (PIO), Punjab State
Council for Science & Technology and another

...Respondents

CWP-3144-2025

Divya Kaushik

...Petitioner

Versus

Public Information Officer (PIO), Punjab State
Council for Science & Technology and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Petitioner in person (in both the writ petitions.).

Mr. T.P.S. Chawla, Senior DAG, Punjab
for respondent No.1-State.

Mr. Gaurav Tangri, Advocate for respondent No.2.

* * *

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

1. In the present petitions, the grievance being raised by the petitioners is that the petitioners have sought certain information vide RTI



**CWP-3124-2025 &
CWP-3144-2025**

-2-

application dated 09.03.2023, which was allowed by the Punjab State Information Commission vide order dated 07.06.2024 (Annexure P/1) with the direction to the respondent-department to supply the said information within a period of 15 days but despite the expiry of more than six months, the information was not supplied. The petitioners again filed an application before the Punjab State Information Commission for directing the respondent-department to supply the information and to take action under Section 20 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 against the violators of the provisions of the said Act for not complying with the order of the Punjab State Information Commission but to the grievance of the petitioner, the said application of the petitioners has now been directed to be listed on 01.07.2025, which is causing prejudice to the petitioners.

2. Petitioners, who appear in person submit that the direction was given by the Punjab State Information Commission to the respondent-department to supply the information within a period of 15 days which direction has not been fruitful and on being pointed out that the said order is being violated, the said application has been directed to be fixed after a period of six months and thus, no valid justification comes for the said purpose.

3. Notice of motion.

4. Mr. T.P.S. Chawla, Senior DAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.1. and Mr. Gaurav Tangri, Advocate accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.2.

5. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that he has instructions to state that the application filed by the petitioners has now



**CWP-3124-2025 &
CWP-3144-2025**

-3-

been directed to be listed for 04.03.2025.

6. Petitioners, who appear in person submits that keeping in view the said development, present petitions may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

7. Ordered accordingly.

8. A photocopy of this order be placed on the file of connected case.

February 04, 2025
aarti

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes

Whether reportable : No