

2025.PHHC.016028



104.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-1151-2025 (O&M)

Date of decision: 04.02.2025

Alim and another

....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present: Mr. Nafeesh Ahmed, Advocate, for the petitioners.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

1. Prayer in the instant petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, is for issuance of directions to respondents No.1 to 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners, who apprehend threat to their life at the hands of private respondents No.4 to 23, who are stated to be family members of the petitioners.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioner No.1, aged about 20 years and petitioner No.2, aged about 18 years, got married on 31.01.2025 against the wishes of private respondents. Ever since then, the petitioners are being threatened with dire consequences by the private respondents. Resultantly, a representation dated 31.01.2025 (Annexure P-4) was also made to respondent No.2-Superintendent of Police, Nuh, District Nuh, wherein a mention has been made about the alleged threat perception. Learned counsel submits that despite the official respondents having been communicated about the alleged threat perception,

no steps have been taken to come to the rescue of the petitioners. Learned counsel submits that he would be satisfied if directions are issued to respondent No.2-Superintendent of Police, Nuh, District Nuh to look into the representation dated 31.01.2025 (Annexure P-4) and take appropriate steps at the earliest.

3. Notice of motion.
4. On asking of the Court, Mr. Rahul Mohan, Senior DAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the official respondents.
5. At this stage, appearance has also been caused by Mr. Munfaid Khan, Advocate, on behalf of respondents No.4, 5 and 7 and filed his *vakalatnama* on behalf of said respondents, which is taken on record.
6. In view of the above, the present petition is disposed of with directions to respondent No.2-Superintendent of Police, Nuh, District Nuh to look into the representation dated 31.01.2025 (Annexure P-4) *qua* the alleged threat perception and take necessary steps, as may be required, in accordance with law, to ensure that the life and liberty of the petitioners is not jeopardized at the hands of the private respondents. However, this direction will not validate the marriage said to have taken place between the parties and will have no effect on any civil or criminal action, which could be initiated in the matter in accordance with law.

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

February 04, 2025

sanjeev	Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
	Whether reportable:	Yes/No