



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

Sr. No.225 (II)

Date of Decision: 28.02.2025

1) CWP No.3194 of 2025

Pooja
Versus
State of Haryana and others
.... Petitioner
... Respondents

2) CWP No.32352 of 2024

Rahul
Versus
State of Haryana and others
.... Petitioner
... Respondents

3) CWP No.32422 of 2024

Hem Lata
Versus
State of Haryana and another
.... Petitioner
... Respondents

4) CWP No.32534 of 2024

Jaideep Sharma
Versus
State of Haryana and others
.... Petitioner
... Respondents

5) CWP No.33616 of 2024

Pardeep Kumar
Versus
State of Haryana and another
.... Petitioner
... Respondents



CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA

Present: Mr. Vikram Sheoran, Advocate,
Mr. Rajat Mor, Advocate,
Mr. Ashok Kaushik, Advocate,
Mr. M.S. Lamba, Advocate, and
Mr. Ravinder Malik (Ravi), Advocate, for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Harish Nain, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Kanwal Goyal, Advocate, assisted by
Ms. Sheena Dahiya, Advocate, for the respondent/Commission.

TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA, J. (ORAL)

These petitions have been filed *inter alia* seeking a writ of *mandamus* directing the respondents to consider the petitioners for appointment to the posts of PGTs in different subject in their respective categories pursuant to advertisement 18 to 37 of 2024.

2. Learned counsel for the Commission has produced a photocopy of composite affidavit, dated 27.02.2025, sworn by Secretary of the Commission in CWP No.30971 of 2024, giving case-wise details of marks obtained by each of the petitioner(s) in these petitions, which is taken on record as Annexure 'A'. It shows, the petitioners herein have secured lesser marks than the cut-off in their respective categories.

3. In view thereof, the claimed relief cannot be granted and the petitions stand dismissed.

4. A photocopy of this order be placed on connected files.

(TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA)
JUDGE

28.02.2025
Maninder

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No