



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

286

CRM-M-7734-2023

Date of decision: 09.01.2025

Balwant Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present : None for the petitioner.

Mr. Karan Sharma, DAG, Haryana.

None for respondent No.2.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner is seeking quashing of FIR No.278 dated 25.10.2022 under Sections 406, 420 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860, registered at Police Station Ambala Sadar, District Ambala, along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, in view of the compromise dated 31.01.2023 (Annexure P-2), arrived at between the parties.

2. Learned State counsel has at the outset opposed the prayer made by the petitioner for quashing of the FIR in question on the basis of a compromise arrived at between him and the complainant-respondent No.2 in view of it being a case of partial quashing which is contrary to the law laid down by a Division Bench of this Court in ***CRM-M-48043-2023 titled as 'Rakesh Das Vs. State of Haryana and another', decided on 12.11.2024.***

**CRM-M-7734-2023**

3. Case has been called twice, however, even on second call none has entered appearance on behalf of the petitioner.

4. The instant petition was filed way back in February, 2023. A perusal of most of the previous orders reveals that either there has been no representation on behalf of the petitioner after this Court issued notice of motion or on some pretext or the other an adjournment has been sought.

5. In the aforementioned facts and circumstances, moreso when it is a case of partial quashing, the instant petition is dismissed for non-prosecution.

09.01.2025

Vinay

**(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No