



280

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-6513-2025

Date of decision: 04.03.2025

Nirmal Singh

....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR

Present: Mr. Gurmandeep Singh, Advocate for
Mr. K.S. Brar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Nitesh Sharma, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Sarthak Jindal, Advocate
for respondent No.2.**HARPREET SINGH BRAR, J. (ORAL)**

1. This petition has been filed under Section 528 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 seeking quashing of FIR No.0293 dated 15.12.2022 under Sections 3(1)(x) of SC/ST Act, 1989 and Sections 506/34 of IPC registered at Police Station Canal Colony Bathinda, District Bathinda (Annexure P-1) along with all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 24.12.2024 (Annexure P-2).

2. On 04.02.2025, the following order was passed:-

“This petition has been filed under Section 528 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 seeking quashing of FIR No.0293 dated 15.12.2022 under Sections 3(1)(x) of SC/ST Act, 1989 and Sections 506/34 of IPC registered at Police Station Canal Colony Bathinda, District Bathinda (Annexure P-1) along with all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 24.12.2024 (Annexure P-2).

Notice of motion for 04.03.2025.

At this stage, on the asking of the Court, Mr. Subhash Godara, Addl.A.G., Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.1-State and Mr. Vinay Kumar Gupta, Advocate accepts notice for respondent No.2 and files his memorandum of appearance and admits to the factum of compromise. He is directed to file his power of attorney on or before the



CRM-M-6513-2025

-2-

next date of hearing. Copy of the paper book be supplied to them during the course of day.

Service is complete.

In the meanwhile, the parties are directed to appear before the learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate within two weeks from today or any other date convenient to the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate, to get their statements recorded regarding compromise and after recording their statements, learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate is directed to send report regarding the genuineness of compromise and also to intimate whether any PO proceedings are pending against any of the party on or before the date fixed.

A copy of the order be sent to learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate through fax for compliance.”

3. In compliance of the aforesaid order, a report has been received from the concerned jurisdictional Court that the compromise between the parties is genuine and arrived at without any pressure or coercion from anyone.

4. In view of the compromise and the ratio of law laid down by the Hon’ble Supreme Court in **Narinder Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and another, (2014) 6 SCC 466** and **Shakuntala Sawhney (Mrs) Vs. Kaushalya (Mrs.) and others (1980) 1 SCC 63** and Full Bench of this Court in **Kulwinder Singh Vs. State of Punjab 2007 (3) RCR (CrL) 1052**, this petition is allowed and FIR No.0293 dated 15.12.2022 under Sections 3(1)(x) of SC/ST Act, 1989 and Sections 506/34 of IPC registered at Police Station Canal Colony Bathinda, District Bathinda (Annexure P-1) along with all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom are quashed, qua the petitioner.

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)
JUDGE

04.03.2025

Neha

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No