



321 **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-6217-2025
Date of decision: 24.03.2025

PARAMJEET SINGH

...PETITIONER

V/S

STATE OF PUNJAB

...RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR

Present: Mr. Aminder Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rishabh Singla, AAG, Punjab.

HARPREET SINGH BRAR, J. (ORAL)

1. The instant petition is preferred under Section 482 BNSS, 2023 seeking anticipatory bail in FIR No.155 dated 24.08.2024 under Section 15 of the Narcotics Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985 (hereinafter 'NDPS Act') (Section 29 of the NDPS Act added later on), registered at Police Station City-1, Sangrur, District Sangrur.

2. On 03.02.2025, following order was passed:

"Instant petition has been filed praying for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.155, dated 24.08.2024, under Section 15 of the Narcotics Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985 (for brevity, the NDPS Act') (Section 29 of the NDPS Act added later on), registered at Police Station City-1, Sanrur, District Sangrur.

It has been submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner that petitioner has been implicated in this case on the basis of disclosure statement made by co-accused from whom 3.5 kg of poppy husk has been allegedly recovered. He submits that petitioner has no criminal



antecedents and the contraband recovered from the co-accused is also of non-commercial quantity.

Notice of motion.

On asking of the Court, Mr.Tarun Aggarwal, Sr.DAG, Punjab, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents/State.

Adjourned to 24.03.2025.

In the meanwhile, in the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be released on interim bail subject to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer/Investigating Officer. The petitioner shall join investigation before the Investigating Agency/ Officer. He shall abide by the following conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) of Bharatiya Nagrik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023:

(i) That the petitioner shall make himself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required to do so;

(ii) That the petitioner shall not directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer;

(iii) That the petitioner shall not leave India without prior permission of the Court.”

3. Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Ranjit Singh, submits that in compliance of order dated 03.02.2025 passed by this Court, the petitioner has joined the investigation and is not required for further custodial interrogation.

4. Keeping in view the statement made by learned State Counsel, the order dated 03.02.2025, is made absolute. The petitioner shall abide by the terms and conditions enumerated in Section 482(2) BNSS (erstwhile Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.)

5. The petition is accordingly disposed of.



6. Nothing observed hereinabove shall be construed to be an expression of opinion by this Court lest it may prejudice the trial. The learned trial Court is directed to proceed with the trial on its own merits, strictly in accordance with law.

March 24, 2025
manisha

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)
JUDGE

- | | | |
|------|---------------------------|--------|
| (i) | Whether speaking/reasoned | Yes/No |
| (ii) | Whether reportable | Yes/No |