



CWP-3308-2025

1

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(111)

CWP-3308-2025

Date of Decision : February 06, 2025

Parmod Chand**.. Petitioner****Versus****State of Punjab and others****.. Respondents****CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI**

Present: Mr. Baljeet Singh Sidhu, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. T.P.S. Chawla, Sr. Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the only grievance of the petitioner is that the arrears for the revised pension w.e.f. 01.01.2016 till 30.06.2021 is not being decided by the respondents despite expiry of adequate time period even.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that the other grievance of the petitioner is that the DA to which he is entitled is neither being paid nor decided by the respondents, which is also causing prejudice to the petitioner.

3. Notice of motion.

4. Mr. T.P.S. Chawla, learned Sr. Deputy Advocate General, Punjab, who is present in the Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents.

5. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that while passing

order in ***CWP-29782 of 2022 titled as Satya Parkash Khanna and others***



CWP-3308-2025

2

vs. State of Punjab and others, decided on 11.07.2024, State has already undertaken to decide the issue of the release of the arrears to the retirees, who were employees of the aided institutions, and six months time has been granted and within the said period, even the claim of the petitioner for the grant of arrears will be decided by passing an appropriate speaking order.

6. Learned counsel for the respondents further submits that even with regard to the claim of the petitioner for the grant of DA as being claimed will be decided and in case, the petitioner is found entitled for any amount, the same will also be released to the petitioner otherwise due reasons will be mentioned in the speaking order for not accepting the claim of the petitioner for his information and necessary action.

7. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement of learned State counsel, the present writ petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further, at this stage.

8. Ordered accordingly.

9. It may be noticed that the petitioner is a senior citizen. In case the undertaking so recorded hereinbefore is not complied with, serious view will be taken including initiation of suo moto contempt proceedings against the respondents concerned.

February 06, 2025*harsha***(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)****JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes

Whether reportable : No