



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

231

CWP-11543-1999

Date of Decision :08.01.2025

M/s Mitaso Appliance Limited

...Petitioner

Versus

Parmod Kumar and anoher

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. A.P. Bhandari, Advocate with
Ms. Bhargavi, Advocate for the petitioner.

None for respondent No.1

* * *

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner very fairly submits that respondent No.1 has not been served despite the expiry of 24 years. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that order challenged in the present petition must have been executed and it is not known whether the petitioner is still interested in pursuing the present remedy or not hence, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further with liberty to revive the same in case the petitioner intends to do so.

Ordered accordingly.

January 08, 2025
aarti

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No