



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

139

CWP-3053-2025

Date of decision: 03.02.2025

VED PARKASH

....PETITIONER

Vs.

UTTAR HARYANA BIJLI VITRAN NIGAM LIMITED AND OTHERS

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present: Mr. Sajan, Advocate for
Mr. Sandeep Yadav, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Naveen S. Bhardwaj, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J (ORAL)

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India is seeking direction to respondents to grant him promotion to the post of Assistant Foreman.
2. The petitioner is holding position of Lineman and claiming promotional post of Assistant Foreman. He claims that his junior has been promoted.
3. There is no evidence on record disclosing that any junior of petitioner has been promoted to the post of Assistant Foreman. In the absence of evidence on record, this Court cannot ask the respondents to promote the petitioner from the same date on which his junior has been allegedly promoted.
4. Dismissed.



CWP-3053-2025 -2-

5. The petitioner is at liberty to avail remedy as permissible by law, if he gets evidence that his junior has been promoted.

03.02.2025
manoj

[JAGMOHAN BANSAL]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No