



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

209

CRM-M-6249-2025

Date of decision: May 8th, 2025

Ramandeep Singh @ Amandeep Singh @ Ganja

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present: Mr. Raghav Bali, Advocate
for Mr. Pankaj Bali, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Amit Rana, Senior Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner is seeking the concession of anticipatory bail in FIR No.189 dated 06.10.2023 under Sections 457, 380, 413 and 414 of the IPC, registered at Police Station Sadar Khanna, District Ludhiana.

2. Vide order dated 03.02.2025, the petitioner had been granted interim anticipatory bail with direction to join investigation and the relevant part of the said order reads as under:-

“Learned counsel for the petitioner inter alia contends that the petitioner was not named in the FIR in question nor was there any suspicion raised qua his involvement in the alleged theft, i.e., of some doors of the wash room of the school. Learned counsel submits that the petitioner came to be nominated as an accused on the basis of disclosure statement allegedly suffered by co-accused Surinder Singh @ Sundri who has since been enlarged on a default bail. It has been further submitted that not only is the evidentiary value of

the disclosure statement allegedly suffered by co-accused of a weak nature, but it also needs to be appreciated in the light of the petitioner having no previous criminal antecedents, thus, pointing to the petitioner's false implication in the present case.”

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance of order dated 03.02.2025, the petitioner has joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency.

4. Learned State counsel, on instructions from HC Amarjeet Singh, does not dispute the factum of the petitioner having joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency. He, on further instructions, submits that the petitioner is not required for further investigation much less for his custodial interrogation.

5. In view of the above, the petition is allowed and interim order dated 03.02.2025, is made absolute subject to the conditions laid down in Section 438(2) Cr.P.C./482(2) BNSS.

May 8th, 2025
Puneet

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No