



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

212

CWP-10434-2001 (O&M)
Date of decision: 07.07.2025

Surinderjit Sharma and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present: None for the petitioners.

Mr. Swapan Shorey, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Amandeep Singh, Advocate for
Mr. Ishwar Lal, Advocate
for respondent Nos.4 to 10, 12, 14 and 15.

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (Oral)

1. Prayer made in the present petition for quashing the orders of promotion/postings of respondent Nos.3 to 15, might not, due to efflux of time, even be surviving, especially in wake of there being no interim order.
2. Learned State counsel refers to the preliminary submission No.1 of the written statement dated 26.09.2002 filed on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 and 2 to state that A Wing to which petitioners belong related to Block level of the field staff, while B to the District level and as such they had wrongly assailed the promotion of the private respondents and that they would be considered in accordance with departmental rules.
3. To the aforesaid facts, there was no rebuttal made by filing any replication.
4. Disposed of, reserving the petitioner with a liberty to get it revived, by demonstrating that the *lis* still survives.

**(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE**

07.07.2025

Hemant

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes / No
Whether reportable	:	Yes / No