



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

206

COC-524-2024 (O&M)**Date of Decision: August 28th, 2025**

Satish Kumar and others

.....Petitioners

Vs.

Mohammad Shayin

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SUDEEPTI SHARMA

Present: Dr. Darshan Singh Chahal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Baldev Raj Mahajan, Sr. Advocate with
Ms. Baani Chhibber Mahajan, Advocate
for the respondent.

SUDEEPTI SHARMA J. (Oral)

1. The present contempt petition has been filed for deliberate and intentional disobedience of order dated 07.08.2023 passed by this Court in CWP-5001-2017.

2. The relevant portion of order dated 07.08.2023 passed by this Court in CWP-5001-2017, is reproduced as under:-

“The present petitions are accordingly disposed of, without commenting on the merits of the claim of the petitioner(s), with a direction to the respondents Corporation to examine the eligibility of the petitioner(s) in terms of the judgment dated 26.11.2015 read with the order dated 18.10.2016 and the Policy decision taken by the Government. The applicant/petitioner(s) shall also



furnish the requisite documents/affidavits as required in terms of the order within a period of 02 months of the receipt of certified copy of this order. On receipt of such applications/affidavits, the respondents shall take a considered decision, thereupon in terms of the Policy and the judgments after affording an opportunity of hearing to the concerned respondents and pass a speaking order within a period of 03 months thereafter.”

3. Learned counsel for the respondent submits that in compliance of order dated 07.08.2023, compliance affidavit of Narendra Sharma, presently Chief Engineer Administration, HPGCL, Panchkula dated 08.08.2024 along with Annexure R/1, R/2 and R/3, has been filed. He further submits that in compliance of order dated 07.08.2023, a speaking order dated 29.03.2024 has been passed.

4. The relevant portion of compliance affidavit of Narendra Sharma, presently Chief Engineer Administration, HPGCL, Panchkula, filed on 08.08.2024, is reproduced as under:-

“7. That after giving opportunity of personal hearing to present petitioners and examine their case, detailed speaking order vide office order no. 31/HPGCL/Rectt-125/Vol-1 dated 29.03.2024 accepting the claim of petitioners no. 1 to 10 has been passed. Further, office order no. 44/HPGCL/Rectt-125/Vol-1 dated 04.07.2024 accepting the claim of petitioner no. 11 and office order no. 27/HPGCL/Rectt-125/Vol-1 dated 29.03.2024 rejecting claim of petitioner no. 12 & 13 have been passed in compliance of the direction given by this Hon'ble High Court as such nothing survives in the contempt petition and may be dismissed as becomes infructuous and rule be discharged. Copy of the speaking



order issued vide memo no. 31/HPGCL/Rectt-125/Vol-1 dated 29.03.2024, office order no. 44/HPGCL/Rectt-125/Vol-1 dated 04.07.2024 and office order no. 27/HPGCL/Rectt-125/Vol-1 dated 29.03.2024 are attached as Annexure R-1 to R-3.”

4. A perusal of the above shows that order dated 07.08.2023 passed by this Court in CWP-5001-2017, has been complied with. This fact is not disputed by learned counsel for the petitioners.

5. In view of the above, the contempt is purged and rule is discharged.

6. Pending application(s), if any, also stand disposed of.

(SUDEEPTI SHARMA)
JUDGE

August 28th, 2025

Sahil

Whether speaking/non-speaking : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No