



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-6587-2025 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 24.07.2025

Arun P

...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana

...Respondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice N.S.Shekhawat

Present : Ms. Manita Dalla, Advocate with
Mr. Rahul Sangwan, Advocate for
Mr. Prashant Singh Chauhan, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajiv Sidhu, Sr. DAG, Haryana.

N.S.Shekhawat J. (Oral)

CRM-27497-2025

Application is allowed as prayed for, subject to just all exceptions.

Annexure P-7 is taken on record.

Main case

1. The petitioner has filed the present petition under Section 483 of B.N.S.S with a prayer to grant regular bail to him in case FIR No. 31, dated 20.07.2024, registered under Sections 318(4) of BNS, 61(2) and 238 of B.N.S added later on, Police Station Cyber Crime, Rewari, District Rewari, Haryana (Annexure P-1).

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner was not initially named by the complainant and has been wrongly arrested by the police only on the basis of an alleged disclosure statement suffered by Raghvendra, co-accused. She further contends that only an amount of

Rs.1,00,000/- was deposited in the account of the petitioner and his account has been misused by the co-accused. She further contends that the petitioner was arrested in the present case on 19.10.2024 and is in custody since then. After completion of the investigation, challan has already been presented against the petitioner and the charges are yet to be framed against him. She further contends that there is no other criminal case against the petitioner and the petitioner deserves to be enlarged on bail.

3. On the other hand, learned State counsel has vehemently opposed the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner on the ground that the petitioner is involved in an online fraud, where, the complainant was cheated to tune of Rs.29,11,633/- by using an online app.

4. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the record carefully.

5. In the present case, the petitioner is stated to be in custody for the last about nine months and is not involved in other crime. Moreover, the charge has not been framed by the Trial Court so far and there are no chances of early conclusion of the trial.

6. Without commenting on the merits of the case, the present petition is allowed. The petitioner is ordered to be released on bail pending trial on his furnishing bail bonds and surety to the satisfaction of the concerned trial Court/ Duty Magistrate/Chief Judicial Magistrate subject to the following conditions:-

(i) The petitioner shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case, so as to dissuade him to disclose such facts to the Court or to any other authority.

(ii) The petitioner shall remain present before the Court on the dates fixed for hearing of the case.

(iii) *The petitioner shall not absent himself from the Court proceedings except on the prior permission of the Court concerned.*

(iv) *The petitioner shall surrender his passport, if any, (if already not surrendered), and in case he is not holder of the same, he shall swear an affidavit to that effect.*

(v) *The petitioner shall also file his affidavit before the concerned Court, mentioning his ordinary place of residence and number of mobile phone, which shall be used by him during the pendency of the trial. In case of change of place of residence/mobile number, he shall share the details with the concerned Court/learned Trial Court.*

(vi) *In case, the petitioner is involved in any other criminal activity, during the pendency of the trial, it shall be viewed seriously.*

(vii) *The concerned Court may insist on two heavy local sureties and may also impose any other condition, in accordance with law, while accepting the bails bonds and surety bonds of the petitioner.*

7. In case, the petitioner violates any of the conditions mentioned above, it shall be viewed seriously and the concession of bail granted to him shall be liable to be cancelled and the prosecution shall be at liberty to move an application in this regard.

24.07.2025
hitesh

(N.S.SHEKHAWAT)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No