



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

284

CWP-2897-2025 (O&M)

Date of decision: 21.04.2025

Susheel Contractor

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present: Mr. Varun Singh Dhanda, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Pankaj Mulwani, DAG Haryana
for respondent No. 1.

Mr. Rajesh Gaur, Advocate
for respondents No. 2 to 6.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J.(oral)

1. This Court vide order dated 19.02.2025 passed the hereinafter
extracted order.

*“The main grievance which propelled the present
petitioner to approach this Court by casting instant petition
under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, is the indolent
and lackadaisical approach of the authority concerned, in not
deciding his representation (Annexure P-17).*

Notice of motion.

*Mr. Bhupender Singh, DAG, Haryana, accepts notice on
behalf of all the respondents, whereas, Mr. Rajesh Gaur,
Advocate, accepts notice on behalf of respondent no.2 to 6, and*



waives service.

Adjourned to 25.03.2025.

The competent authority amongst the respondent, i.e. respondent no.2, is directed to make its all endeavours to take final decision on the representation (Annexure P-17), within a period of 02 weeks from today, and a copy of the decision, so taken, shall be placed on record, positively, on or before the next date of hearing.

In case the compliance of the aforesaid direction is not made, respondent no.2 shall cause his personal appearance before this Court made on the next date of hearing.

To be shown in the urgent list.

It is made clear that no further request for an adjournment shall be entertained on the next date of hearing, on behalf of either of the parties.”

2. In deference to directions issued by this Court vide order dated 19.02.2025, a speaking order has been passed, and the final bill amounting to Rs. 6,02,543/- has been prepared, and the same was ordered to be processed for release of payment. In eventuality of speaking order passed, no further direction is required to be passed.

3. In case, the petitioner is still have any grievance from the interim order, he may take all alternate remedy.

4. **Disposed of.**

21.04.2025

Satyawan

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether Reportable: Yes/No