



CRM-M-6591-2025

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

262

CRM-M-6591-2025

Date of decision : 08.05.2025

Nitin Talwar and Ors.

..... Petitioners

V/S

State of Haryana and Anr.

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE AMARJOT BHATTI

Present: Mr. Mayank Goyal, Advocate for petitioners (through V.C.).

Ms. Nidhi Garg, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Mukul Gupta, Advocate for respondent No.2 (through V.C.).

AMARJOT BHATTI J. (ORAL)

1. Petitioners-Nitin Talwar, Sanjiv Talwar, Aarti Talwar and Aanchal Talwar have filed this petition under Section 528 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 for quashing of FIR No.364 dated 08.06.2022, registered under Sections 506, 498-A, 406, 354-A, 323 of IPC, at Police Station Civil Lines Sirsa, District Sirsa (Annexure P-1) and all the subsequent proceedings arising therefrom, qua the petitioners, in light of the compromise effected between the parties dated 20.12.2024 (Annexure P-4).

2. As per facts of the case, complainant Rohini Arora filed written complaint against her husband Nitin Talwar and other members of in-laws family alleging that her marriage was performed with Nitin Talwar on 06.12.2021. Engagement ceremony took place on 17.10.2021 at Maharaja Residency, Ludhiana. Her parents had given gold ornaments, *shagun* and other valuable gifts. Her parents had spent Rs.5 Lacs at the time of engagement ceremony. They again spent about Rs.20 Lacs on her marriage ceremony. She was given



CRM-M-6591-2025

-2-

household articles, cash amount of Rs.5 Lacs to buy electronic and furniture items and Rs.5 Lacs were given to her husband to buy a car. Apart from this, her parents had given clothes and other household articles. After few days of marriage, she realized that all accused persons were greedy persons. They started taunting her for bringing less dowry. They objected that they were not given cash amount to buy Innova car. They started finding faults in the dowry articles. Her parents borrowed Rs.2 Lacs and gave it to the accused persons to save her marriage. Their misbehavior continued. Her husband used to beat her under the influence of liquor. Efforts were made to settle the dispute with the intervention of Panchayat. Since 17.01.2022, she is living in her parental house and all her *istridhan* has been misappropriated. With these allegations, present FIR has been registered.

3. Petitioners filed this petition for quashing of aforesaid FIR on the basis of compromise. Vide order dated 03.03.2025, petitioners and respondent No.2 were directed to appear before the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate for recording their statements on the basis of compromise. Detailed report regarding compromise has been received from the court of Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Sirsa dated 27.03.2025. Statement of respondent No.2 has been recorded where she confirmed the compromise with petitioners. She confirmed that this compromise has been effected voluntarily and without any coercion and undue influence and she has no objection regarding quashing of FIR.

Learned counsel representing State has already filed status report through Registry, which is taken on record.

4. Petitioners- Nitin Talwar, Sanjiv Talwar, Aarti Talwar and Aanchal Talwar also confirmed this fact in their joint statement. Statement of ASI



Maninder Singh is also recorded who confirmed that petitioners are not involved or declared as proclaimed offenders in any other criminal case.

5. Therefore, from the report of Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Sirsa, it is clear that compromise has been effected between the parties without any pressure, coercion or undue influence. They have mutually settled all their claims arisen from matrimonial dispute. They have decided to part ways by filing joint petition under Section 13-B of Hindu Marriage Act. Matter has been settled in Rs.7,85,000/- out of which Rs.3,00,000/- were already paid by petitioners to complainant at the time of recording of first motion statements and balance amount of Rs.4,85,000/- will be paid at the time of recording of second motion statements. Thereafter, they will be able to live independently in peace and harmony. No purpose would be served with the continuation of criminal proceedings. They have effected compromise in FIR No.214 dated 13.05.2024, registered under Sections 323, 341, 506 of IPC, at Police Station Civil Lines Sirsa, District Sirsa in connected case bearing CRM-M-6680-2025 fixed for today.

6. Gainful reference can be made to the judgment of Larger Bench of Five Judges of this High Court cited in **2007(3) R.C.R. (Criminal) 1052 tilted as Kulwinder Singh and Ors. Vs. State of Punjab and Anr.**, where it was explained that ‘there can never be any hard and fast category which can be prescribed to enable the court to exercise its power under Section 482 of Cr.P.C. The only principle that can be laid down is the one which has been incorporated in the section itself i.e. to prevent abuse of the process of any court or to secure the ends of justice.’



CRM-M-6591-2025

-4-

7. Therefore, by relying upon the ratio of the aforesaid judgment, no purpose would be served with the continuation of criminal proceedings. Considering these facts, the petition filed by the petitioners is accepted and FIR No.364 dated 08.06.2022, registered under Sections 506, 498-A, 406, 354-A, 323 of IPC, at Police Station Civil Lines Sirsa, District Sirsa (Annexure P-1) and all other consequential proceedings arising therefrom are quashed qua petitioners.

(AMARJOT BHATTI)
JUDGE

08.05.2025.

Sunil Devi

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No